

PEYTO

Exploration & Development Corp.

2015



Annual Report

Highlights

| | 3 Months Ended December 31 | | | 12 Months Ended December 31 | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | % Change | 2015 | 2014 | % Change |
| Operations | | | | | | |
| Production | | | | | | |
| Natural gas (Mcf/d) | 540,512 | 451,044 | 20% | 474,182 | 412,441 | 15% |
| Oil & NGLs (bbl/d) | 6,943 | 8,077 | -14% | 6,643 | 7,632 | -13% |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (Mcf/d @ 1:6) | 582,167 | 499,505 | 17% | 514,042 | 458,232 | 12% |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d @ 6:1) | 97,028 | 83,251 | 17% | 85,674 | 76,372 | 12% |
| Production per million common shares (boe/d)* | 610 | 542 | 13% | 544 | 498 | 9% |
| Product prices | | | | | | |
| Natural gas (\$/Mcf) | 3.34 | 4.22 | -21% | 3.58 | 4.30 | -17% |
| Oil & NGLs (\$/bbl) | 39.88 | 55.47 | -28% | 40.40 | 70.68 | -43% |
| Operating expenses (\$/Mcf) | 0.25 | 0.31 | -19% | 0.29 | 0.34 | -15% |
| Transportation (\$/Mcf) | 0.16 | 0.13 | 23% | 0.16 | 0.13 | 23% |
| Field netback (\$/Mcf) | 3.04 | 4.03 | -25% | 3.24 | 4.19 | -23% |
| General & administrative expenses (\$/Mcf) | 0.05 | 0.06 | -17% | 0.04 | 0.03 | 33% |
| Interest expense (\$/Mcf) | 0.16 | 0.19 | -16% | 0.18 | 0.21 | -14% |
| Financial (\$000, except per share*) | | | | | | |
| Revenue | 191,606 | 216,321 | -11% | 717,836 | 843,797 | -15% |
| Royalties | 6,663 | 11,196 | -40% | 27,019 | 61,324 | -56% |
| Funds from operations | 151,123 | 173,437 | -13% | 565,473 | 662,788 | -15% |
| Funds from operations per share | 0.95 | 1.13 | -16% | 3.59 | 4.33 | -17% |
| Total dividends | 52,456 | 49,181 | 7% | 208,149 | 174,826 | 19% |
| Total dividends per share | 0.33 | 0.32 | 3% | 1.32 | 1.14 | 16% |
| Payout ratio | 35 | 29 | 21% | 37 | 26 | 42% |
| Earnings | 43,406 | 68,597 | -37% | 137,561 | 261,778 | -47% |
| Earnings per diluted share | 0.27 | 0.45 | -40% | 0.87 | 1.71 | -49% |
| Capital expenditures | 162,442 | 179,697 | -10% | 593,780 | 690,389 | -14% |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 158,958,273 | 153,690,808 | 3% | 157,492,332 | 153,231,099 | 3% |
| As at December 31 | | | | | | |
| End of period shares outstanding (includes shares to be issued) | | | | 159,107,303 | 153,859,728 | 3% |
| Net debt | | | | 1,104,602 | 1,009,508 | 9% |
| Shareholders' equity | | | | 1,623,557 | 1,551,936 | 5% |
| Total assets | | | | 3,357,514 | 3,127,065 | 7% |

*all per share amounts using weighted average common shares outstanding

| | 3 Months Ended December 31 | | 12 Months Ended December 31 | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| (\$000 except per share) | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | 130,483 | 193,145 | 530,208 | 642,531 |
| Change in non-cash working capital | 13,168 | (24,898) | 18,109 | (2,046) |
| Change in provision for performance based compensation | (15,911) | (13,987) | (6,227) | 3,126 |
| Performance based compensation | 23,383 | 19,177 | 23,383 | 19,177 |
| Funds from operations | 151,123 | 173,437 | 565,473 | 662,788 |
| Funds from operations per share | 0.95 | 1.13 | 3.59 | 4.33 |

(1) Funds from operations - Management uses funds from operations to analyze the operating performance of its energy assets. In order to facilitate comparative analysis, funds from operations is defined throughout this report as earnings before performance based compensation, non-cash and non-recurring expenses. Management believes that funds from operations is an important parameter to measure the value of an asset when combined with reserve life. Funds from operations is not a measure recognized by Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and does not have a standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP. Therefore, funds from operations, as defined by Peyto, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and investors are cautioned that funds from operations should not be construed as an alternative to net earnings, cash flow from operating activities or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. Funds from operations cannot be assured and future distributions may vary.

Report from the President

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. (“Peyto” or the “Company”) is pleased to report operating and financial results for the fourth quarter and 2015 fiscal year. Peyto profitably grew both production and reserves per share in the year while delivering a 79% operating margin¹ and a 20% profit margin². An 8% return on capital employed and a 9% return on equity were achieved despite the challenging commodity price environment in 2015. Highlights for the fourth quarter and full year 2015 included:

- **Production exits above 100,000 boe/d** – Average annual production increased 12%, or 9% per share, to 514 MMCFe/d (85,674 boe/d) in 2015 up from 458 MMCFe/d (76,372 boe/d) in 2014. Q4 2015 production was up 17%, or 13% per share, from Q4 2014 to 582 MMCFe/d (97,028 boe/d), with exit production of 102,000 boe/d.
- **Reserves per share up 11%** – Producing reserves increased 15% to 1.4 TCFe (229 mmoes), up 11% per share, while total P+P reserves increased 11% to 3.5 TCFe (590 mmoes), up 7% per share.
- **Cash costs down 25%** – Royalties, operating costs, transportation, G&A and interest expense totaled \$0.81/MCFe (\$4.87/boe) in 2015 down 25% from \$1.08/MCFe in 2014. Total cash costs in 2015 were the lowest in the Company’s 17 year history. Fourth quarter 2015 cash costs were further reduced to \$0.75/MCFe (\$4.54/boe).
- **Funds from operations per share of \$3.59** – Annual Funds from Operations (“FFO”) of \$565 million, or \$3.59/share, were down 15% from \$663 million in 2014 as a result of a 24% reduction in realized commodity prices offset by a 12% increase in production and a 25% decrease in cash costs. Q4 2015 FFO was \$151 million or \$0.95/share compared to \$173 million, or \$1.13/share, in Q4 2014.
- **Capital investments of \$594 million** – A total of \$594 million was invested in the drilling of 140 gross (132 net) wells that contributed 51,000 boe/d of incremental production at year end for a cost of \$11,600/boe/d. This was the largest amount of incremental production at the lowest total cost in the Company’s history.
- **PDP FD&A half of field netback** – All in cost to develop new producing reserves was \$1.64/MCFe (\$9.83/boe), down 27% from 2014, while the field netback for 2015 averaged \$3.24/MCFe (\$19.43/boe) resulting in a recycle ratio of 2.0 times. The Company replaced 193% of production with new producing reserves at this ratio.
- **Earnings per share of \$0.87** – Annual earnings of \$138 million were generated in 2015 despite lower commodity prices and increased provincial corporate tax rates. Fourth quarter 2015 earnings of \$43 million (\$0.27/share) represented a profit margin² of 23% of revenue. Annual dividends of \$208 million, or \$1.32/share, were paid to shareholders in 2015.

2015 in Review

The year 2015 was both a challenging and rewarding one for Peyto. Realized unhedged natural gas prices were down 38% from the previous year while realized liquids prices were down 43%. As well, take-away restrictions on Trans Canada’s intra-Alberta pipeline system reduced annual production by over 4,500 boe/d. Despite these challenges, Peyto was able to reduce both PDP FD&A and cash costs by 27% and 25% respectively, which helped preserve operating and profit margins. Peyto’s Wilrich play in the Brazeau River area yielded some of the best well results of the year and helped propel total exit production beyond 102,000 boe/d. In total 140 gross wells were drilled and put on production, while at the same time 171 new locations were added and recognized in Peyto’s reserve books. Owned and operated infrastructure was also expanded in the year with over 100 MMcf/d of total processing capacity added at several of Peyto’s gas plants. The Company’s strict focus on bringing down costs ensured that capital investments for the year continued to deliver solid returns.

(1) Operating Margin is defined as Funds from Operations divided by Revenue before Royalties but including realized hedging gains (losses).

(2) Profit Margin is defined as Net Earnings for the year divided by Revenue before Royalties but including realized hedging gains (losses).

Natural gas volumes recorded in thousand cubic feet (Mcf) are converted to barrels of oil equivalent (boe) using the ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl). Natural gas liquids and oil volumes in barrel of oil (bbl) are converted to thousand cubic feet equivalent (Mcf) using a ratio of one (1) barrel of oil to six (6) thousand cubic feet. This could be misleading if used in isolation as it is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applied at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

The Peyto Strategy

For the past 17 years, the Peyto strategy has focused on investing shareholders' capital into the profitable development of long life, low cost, low risk natural gas resource plays. Part of Peyto's focus has been on efficiently executing all aspects of exploration and production operations, in order to deliver real, full-cycle returns at the field level. The other part has been on ensuring that costs are managed at the corporate level so that profits are not eroded before they can contribute to shareholder returns. This is accomplished by maintaining a strict focus on cost control, paired with an entrepreneurial, owner attitude that is pervasive throughout the organization. Evidence of the success of this strategy, at various points in the commodity price cycle, can be seen in the following table.

| (\$/Mcf) | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 17 Year Avg. |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Sales Price | \$8.76 | \$8.93 | \$9.54 | \$6.75 | \$6.15 | \$5.47 | \$4.21 | \$4.43 | \$5.04 | \$3.83 | \$5.69 |
| All cash costs not including royalties ² | (\$0.89) | (\$1.19) | (\$1.19) | (\$1.12) | (\$0.99) | (\$0.82) | (\$0.73) | (\$0.75) | (\$0.71) | (\$0.67) | (\$0.77) |
| Capital costs ¹ | (\$2.95) | (\$2.11) | (\$2.88) | (\$2.26) | (\$2.10) | (\$2.12) | (\$2.22) | (\$2.35) | (\$2.25) | (\$1.64) | (\$1.96) |
| "Profits" | \$4.92 | \$5.63 | \$5.47 | \$3.37 | \$3.06 | \$2.53 | \$1.26 | \$1.33 | \$2.08 | \$1.52 | \$2.96 |
| Royalty Owners | (\$1.77) | (\$1.56) | (\$1.82) | (\$0.63) | (\$0.64) | (\$0.53) | (\$0.32) | (\$0.31) | (\$0.37) | (\$0.14) | (\$0.73) |
| Shareholders | (\$3.15) | (\$4.07) | (\$3.65) | (\$2.74) | (\$2.42) | (\$2.00) | (\$0.94) | (\$1.02) | (\$1.71) | (\$1.38) | (\$2.23) |
| Div./Dist. paid | \$3.47 | \$3.92 | \$4.25 | \$4.03 | \$3.37 | \$1.24 | \$1.04 | \$1.01 | \$1.05 | \$1.11 | \$1.78 |

1. Capital costs to develop new producing reserves is the PDP FD&A

2. Cash costs not including royalties include Operating costs, Transportation, G&A and Interest.

On average over the last decade and for the Company's 17 year history, the consistency and repeatability of Peyto's execution in the field combined with strict cost control has resulted in nearly 50% of the average sales price being retained in profit. Out of that profit, royalty owners have received approximately 25%, while shareholders, whose capital has been at risk, have received the balance. This level of profitability, in which all stakeholders share, is so strong it is unique in the energy industry and continues to differentiate the Peyto strategy.

Capital Expenditures

Peyto drilled 140 gross (132 net) horizontal wells in 2015, the most in the Company's 17 year history. Dramatically reduced well costs and improved productivity and reserve outcomes contributed to the most successful year since Peyto began using horizontal wells with multi-stage fracs in 2009. The Company invested a total of \$288 million in drilling and \$173 million in completions, down 19% and 28% on a per well basis, from 2014. Average well length increased slightly to 4,309 m measured depth, while a total of 1,511 frac stages were pumped, or 10.6 stages per well, up from 10.0 stages per well in 2014. Peyto estimates that approximately one quarter of the total 23% reduction in drilling and completion cost can be retained even if service costs increase to previous levels due to permanent changes in well design. Wellsite equipment and tie-ins accounted for \$49 million in the year.

The table below outlines the past five years of average horizontal drilling and completion costs.

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Gross Spuds | 52 | 70 | 86 | 99 | 123 | 140 |
| Length (m) | 3,762 | 3,903 | 4,017 | 4,179 | 4,251 | 4,309 |
| Drilling (\$MM) | \$2.763 | \$2.823 | \$2.789 | \$2.720 | \$2.660 | \$2,159 |
| \$ per meter | \$734 | \$723 | \$694 | \$651 | \$626 | \$501 |
| Completion (\$MM) | \$1.358 | \$1.676 | \$1.672 | \$1.625 | \$1.693 | \$1,212 |
| \$ per meter | \$361 | \$429 | \$416 | \$389 | \$398 | \$281 |

The Company also invested \$74.4 million into expanding gas gathering and processing capabilities across Peyto's core areas. The most notable expansion occurred at the Swanson gas plant which included a second processing train and four new compressors taking capacity to 130 MMcf/d. As well, one additional compressor was added at the Oldman North gas plant taking capacity to approximately 125 MMcf/d, and three additional compressors were recently added

at the Brazeau gas plant taking capacity to 60 MMcf/d. Two major pipeline projects were completed which expanded the 42km pipeline corridor from the Ansell area to the Swanson gas plant as well as expanded pipeline capacity from the South Brazeau area to the Brazeau gas plant.

Peyto continued to add land through Crown sales and minor property acquisitions in 2015. In total, 58 net sections were acquired for \$8.6 million at an average purchase price of \$230/acre, down from \$459/acre in 2014. The Company also disposed of non-core lands in the Waskahigan and Cutbank areas for \$6.3 million. Finally, 478 km² of 3-dimensional seismic was acquired for \$6.5 million to identify new exploration and development drilling inventory on existing and newly acquired acreage.

Peyto's land strategy, which has been a cornerstone of the Company's success, remains the same after 17 years. Lands are only acquired if they contain drilling opportunities that are definable and well understood, and that can meet a strict economic threshold for development within a reasonable timeframe. This has resulted in Peyto's land base being highly prospective "drilling islands" with stacked opportunities that lend themselves to development with horizontal wells and concentrated surface infrastructure.

The following table summarizes the capital investments for the fourth quarter and 2015 fiscal year.

| (\$000) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Land | - | 4,012 | 5,451 | 12,750 |
| Seismic | 2,158 | 1,731 | 6,530 | 8,114 |
| Drilling | 70,589 | 80,578 | 287,560 | 311,794 |
| Completions | 53,881 | 53,481 | 173,445 | 183,471 |
| Equipping & Tie-ins | 16,221 | 16,687 | 48,716 | 53,777 |
| Facilities & Pipelines | 18,953 | 23,208 | 74,417 | 120,210 |
| Acquisitions | 36 | - | 3,143 | 273 |
| Dispositions | - | - | (6,282) | - |
| Office | 604 | - | 800 | - |
| Total Capital Expenditures | 162,442 | 179,697 | 593,780 | 690,389 |

Reserves

Peyto was successful growing reserves in all categories in 2015, despite the year over year reduction in commodity price forecasts. The following table illustrates the change in reserve volumes and Net Present Value ("NPV") of future cash flows, discounted at 5%, before income tax and using forecast pricing.

| | As at December 31 | | % Change | % Change, debt adjusted per share [†] |
|--|-------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Reserves (BCFe) | | | | |
| Proved Producing | 1,375 | 1,200 | 15% | 4% |
| Total Proved | 2,249 | 2,085 | 8% | (2%) |
| Proved + Probable Additional | 3,539 | 3,189 | 11% | 0% |
| Net Present Value (\$millions) Discounted at 5% | | | | |
| Proved Producing | \$3,175 | \$3,447 | (8%) | (18%) |
| Total Proved | \$4,354 | \$4,852 | (10%) | (18%) |
| Proved + Probable Additional | \$6,450 | \$7,161 | (10%) | (16%) |

[†]Per share reserves are adjusted for changes in net debt by converting debt to equity using the Dec 31 share price of \$24.87 for 2015 and share price of \$33.47 for 2014. Net Present Values are adjusted for debt by subtracting net debt from the value prior to calculating per share amounts.

Note: based on the InSite Petroleum Consultants ("InSite") report effective December 31, 2015. The InSite price forecast is available at www.InSitepc.com. For more information on Peyto's reserves, refer to the Press Release dated February 17, 2016 announcing the Year End Reserve Report which is available on the website at www.peyto.com. The complete statement of reserves data and required reporting in compliance with NI 51-101 will be included in Peyto's Annual Information Form to be released in March 2016.

Value Reconciliation

In order to measure the success of all of the capital invested in 2015, it is necessary to quantify the total amount of value added during the year and compare that to the total amount of capital invested. The independent engineers have run last year's 2014 reserve evaluation with this year's price forecast to remove the change in value attributable to commodity prices. This approach isolates the value created by the Peyto team from the value created (or lost) by those changes outside of their control (ie. commodity prices). Since the capital investments in 2015 were funded from a combination of cash flow, debt and equity, it is necessary to know the change in debt and the change in shares outstanding to see if the change in value is truly accretive to shareholders.

At year-end 2015, Peyto's estimated net debt had increased by 9% or \$94.5 million to \$1.104 billion while the number of shares outstanding had increased by 3% or 5.25 million shares to 159.107 million shares. The change in debt includes all of the capital expenditures, as well as any acquisitions, and the total fixed and performance based compensation paid out for the year.

Based on this reconciliation of changes in BT NPV, the Peyto team was able to create \$1.4 billion of Proved Producing, \$1.9 billion of Total Proven, and \$3.0 billion of Proved plus Probable Additional undiscounted reserve value, with \$594 million of capital investment. The ratio of capital expenditures to value creation is what Peyto refers to as the NPV recycle ratio, which is simply the undiscounted value addition, resulting from the capital program, divided by the capital investment. For 2015, the Proved Producing NPV recycle ratio is 2.3. This means for each dollar invested, the Peyto team was able to create 2.3 new dollars of Proved Producing reserve value.

The historic NPV recycle ratios are presented in the following table.

| Value Creation | 31-Dec-06 | 31-Dec-07 | 31-Dec-08 | 31-Dec-09 | 31-Dec-10 | 31-Dec-11 | 31-Dec-12 | 31-Dec-13 | 31-Dec-14 | 31-Dec-15 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| NPV ₀ Recycle | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proved | 2.9 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Total Proved | 2.9 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 18.9 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| Proved + | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 27.1 | 10.3 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 5.0 |

**NPV₀ (net present value) recycle ratio is calculated by dividing the undiscounted NPV of reserves added in the year by the total capital cost for the period (eg. 2015 Proved Producing (\$1,365/\$594) = 2.3).*

Performance Ratios

The following table highlights annual performance ratios both before and after the implementation of horizontal wells in late 2009. These can be used for comparative purposes, but it is cautioned that on their own they do not measure investment success.

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Proved Producing | | | | | | | | |
| FD&A (\$/Mcf) | \$1.64 | \$2.25 | \$2.35 | \$2.22 | \$2.12 | \$2.10 | \$2.26 | \$2.88 |
| RLI (yrs) | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 14 |
| Recycle Ratio | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Reserve Replacement | 193% | 183% | 190% | 284% | 230% | 239% | 79% | 110% |
| Total Proved | | | | | | | | |
| FD&A (\$/Mcf) | \$0.72 | \$2.37 | \$2.23 | \$2.04 | \$2.13 | \$2.35 | \$1.73 | \$3.17 |
| RLI (yrs) | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 17 |
| Recycle Ratio | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Reserve Replacement | 188% | 254% | 230% | 414% | 452% | 456% | 422% | 139% |
| Future Development Capital (\$ millions) | \$1,381 | \$1,721 | \$1,406 | \$1,318 | \$1,111 | \$741 | \$446 | \$222 |

| Proved plus Probable Additional | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--|
| FD&A (\$/Mcf) | \$0.54 | \$2.01 | \$1.86 | \$1.68 | \$1.90 | \$2.19 | \$1.47 | \$3.88 | |
| RLI (yrs) | 17 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 29 | 23 | |
| Recycle Ratio | 6.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 | |
| Reserve Replacement | 287% | 328% | 450% | 527% | 585% | 790% | 597% | 122% | |
| Future Development Capital (\$millions) | \$2,657 | \$2,963 | \$2,550 | \$2,041 | \$1,794 | \$1,310 | \$672 | \$390 | |

- FD&A (finding, development and acquisition) costs are used as a measure of capital efficiency and are calculated by dividing the capital costs for the period, including the change in undiscounted future development capital ("FDC"), by the change in the reserves, incorporating revisions and production, for the same period (eg. Total Proved (\$593.8-\$339.6)/(374.8-347.4+31.27) = \$4.33/boe or \$0.72/Mcfe).
- The reserve life index (RLI) is calculated by dividing the reserves (in boes) in each category by the annualized average production rate in boe/year (eg. Proved Producing 229,193/(97.028x365) = 6.5). Peyto believes that the most accurate way to evaluate the current reserve life is by dividing the proved developed producing reserves by the actual fourth quarter average production. In Peyto's opinion, for comparative purposes, the proved developed producing reserve life provides the best measure of sustainability.
- The Recycle Ratio is calculated by dividing the field netback per MCFe, by the FD&A costs for the period (eg. Proved Producing ((\$19.43)/\$9.83=2.0). The recycle ratio is comparing the netback from existing reserves to the cost of finding new reserves and may not accurately indicate investment success unless the replacement reserves are of equivalent quality as the produced reserves.
- The reserve replacement ratio is determined by dividing the yearly change in reserves before production by the actual annual production for the year (eg. Total Proved ((374.8-347.4+31.27)/31.27) = 188%).

Quarterly Review

Peyto maintained a 10 rig program throughout the fourth quarter 2015 with only a minor shutdown over Christmas break. A total of \$125 million was invested in the drilling of 35 gross (31.4 net) wells and the completion of 48 gross (45.1 net) wells. In addition, \$16 million was invested in wellsite equipment and tie-ins while \$19 million was invested in new facilities and pipelines. Seismic acquisitions of \$2 million resulted in total capital investment for the quarter of \$162.4 million.

Two drilling rigs worked exclusively in the Brazeau area, focused on Wilrich development drilling near the Brazeau gas plant as well as step out Falher and Notikewin locations. The other eight rigs were spread across the greater Sundance and Ansell areas focused primarily on Spirit River targets in the Notikewin, Falher and Wilrich formations as illustrated in the following table.

| Zone | Sundance | Nosehill | Wildhay | Field Ansell/ Minehead | Berl and | Kisku/ Kakwa | Brazeau | Total Wells Drilled |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Cardium | | | | | | | | |
| Notikewin | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | 2 | 6 |
| Falher | 2 | 1 | | 8 | | | 1 | 12 |
| Wilrich | 5 | | 6 | 1 | | | 4 | 16 |
| Bluesky | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| Total | 9 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 |

Production in the fourth quarter 2015 averaged 97,028 boe/d, up 17% from 83,251 in Q4 2014, made up of 541 MMcf/d of natural gas and 6,943 bbl/d of natural gas liquids. An average of 1,800 boe/d of production for the quarter was deferred due to restrictions on TCPL's intra-Alberta pipeline system. The Company was able to secure additional temporary firm transportation service in November and December, allowing for unrestricted production with year-end exit levels exceeding 102,000 boe/d. As realized propane prices remained in negative territory, Peyto elected to reject propane recoveries at the Company's various gas plants resulting in higher revenues but lower propane production with approximately 1,700 boe/d remaining in the gas stream.

The Company's realized price for natural gas in Q4 2015 was \$2.82/Mcf, prior to a \$0.52/Mcf hedging gain, while its realized liquids price was \$39.88/bbl, yielding a combined revenue stream of \$3.58/Mcfe. This net sales price was 24% lower than the \$4.71/Mcfe realized in Q4 2014.

Peyto set a new record for the lowest total cash costs in its 17 year history in Q4 2015 with \$0.75/Mcfe (\$4.50/boe). This total included royalties of \$0.13/Mcfe, operating costs of \$0.25/Mcfe, transportation of \$0.16/Mcfe, G&A of \$0.05/Mcfe and interest of \$0.16/Mcfe. Royalties, operating costs and interest charges were 25% lower than Q4 2014, contributing to this new record. Operating costs for the quarter were 19% lower due to continued efficiency gains through pad drilling and expanded use of remote well surveillance.

Peyto generated total funds from operations of \$151 million in the quarter, or \$2.83/Mcfe, equating to a 79% operating margin. DD&A charges of \$1.64/Mcfe, as well as a provision for current and future performance based compensation and income tax, reduced FFO to earnings of \$0.82/Mcfe, or a 23% profit margin. Due to Peyto's industry leading low costs, no impairments were recorded in the quarter. Dividends to shareholders totaled \$0.98/Mcfe.

Marketing

Alberta (AECO) natural gas prices in 2015 continued to be pressured by abundant North American supply despite the support of a falling Canadian dollar. In the fourth quarter, extremely warm December weather across much of North America reduced natural gas demand causing prices to fall to their lowest point in the year with AECO daily averaging \$2.15/GJ. For 2015, Peyto realized a natural gas price of \$3.12/GJ or \$3.58/Mcf. This was a combination of approximately 37% being sold in the daily or monthly spot market for \$2.56/GJ and 63% having been pre-sold at an average hedged price of \$3.45/GJ. In the fourth quarter, this combination was 42% in the spot market at \$2.44/GJ and 58% pre-sold at an average hedged price of \$3.25/GJ.

As illustrated in the following table, Peyto also realized \$40.40/bbl for its blend of natural gas liquids in the year, which represented 71% of the Canadian Light Sweet oil price. In the third and fourth quarters of 2015 Peyto began rejecting propane recoveries due to negative realized propane prices which improved average liquid price offsets to 77% of light oil prices by the fourth quarter.

Commodity Prices by Component

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/Mcf) | 3.34 | 4.22 | 3.58 | 4.30 |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/GJ) | 2.90 | 3.70 | 3.12 | 3.77 |
| AECO monthly (\$/GJ) | 2.51 | 3.80 | 2.62 | 4.19 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | | | | |
| Condensate (\$/bbl) | 45.29 | 68.72 | 51.09 | 90.31 |
| Propane (\$/bbl) | (4.82) | 20.45 | (1.99) | 26.58 |
| Butane (\$/bbl) | 22.47 | 46.44 | 23.55 | 53.03 |
| Pentane (\$/bbl) | 49.05 | 72.30 | 51.79 | 92.86 |
| Total Oil and natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | 39.88 | 55.47 | 40.40 | 70.68 |
| Canadian Light Sweet postings (\$/bbl) | 52.02 | 74.41 | 56.97 | 94.04 |

Liquids prices are Peyto realized prices in Canadian dollars adjusted for fractionation and transportation.

To prevent the short term volatility in natural gas prices from interfering with capital planning, Peyto uses a hedging strategy that is designed to smooth out the short term fluctuations in the price of natural gas through future sales. This is done by selling approximately 35% of the total natural gas production (inclusive of Crown Royalty volumes) on the daily and monthly spot markets while the balance (approximately 65%) is pre-sold or hedged. These hedges are meant to be methodical and consistent and to avoid speculation. In general, this approach will show hedging losses when short term prices climb and hedging gains when short term prices fall. Peyto generally sells its contracts in either the 7 month summer or the 5 month winter season. Peyto has deployed this strategy for over a decade now, which has resulted in \$308 million in cumulative gains over the past 14 years.

The following table summarizes the remaining hedged volumes for the upcoming years effective March 2, 2016:

| | Future Sales | | Average Price (CAD) | |
|-------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| | GJ | Mcf* | \$/GJ | \$/Mcf* |
| 2016 | 103,440,000 | 89,947,826 | 2.85 | 3.28 |
| 2017 | 70,850,000 | 61,608,696 | 2.68 | 3.08 |
| 2018 | 19,020,000 | 16,539,130 | 2.55 | 2.93 |
| Total | 193,310,000 | 168,095,652 | 2.76 | 3.17 |

*Assuming historical heat content

Activity Update

Peyto began 2016 with 10 drilling rigs active but reduced this count to 8 rigs over the month of January in response to falling natural gas prices. To date, a total of 27 gross (25.3 net) wells have been drilled and 20 gross (19 net) wells have been completed and brought on production. In addition, field construction has commenced on Peyto's Brazeau gas plant expansion which will increase capacity from 60 MMcf/d to 100 MMcf/d by the end of March.

Production to date has averaged 101,000 boe/d with approximately 3,000 boe/d waiting behind pipe for the Brazeau plant expansion. Take away restrictions on TCPL's intra-Alberta pipeline system have eased significantly with up to 50% interruptible service offered in the greater Sundance area. In addition, the Brazeau region has been removed from the Upstream James River restricted area and should no longer be subject to future system capacity constraints.

Peyto closed three small property acquisitions in early 2016, including purchasing an additional 20% interest in Peyto's 60 MMcf/d Galloway natural gas plant, increasing current ownership to 89%. This is the only plant in which Peyto does not own a 100% working interest. This acquisition brings Peyto's working interest capacity in its 9 owned and operated gas plants to approximately 0.75 BCF/d which will be capable of processing over 135,000 boe/d of net, Deep Basin production.

Warm weather has already begun to soften northern Alberta county roads and Peyto plans to taper rig activity commencing early March. In consideration of current commodity prices, Peyto plans to run 4 rigs through breakup on only those areas where pad drilling ensures no cost premium for unpredictable weather conditions.

2016 Revised Budget

Peyto's original 2016 budget of \$600 to \$650 million, announced November 12, 2015, has been revised to \$500 to \$550 million to reflect the improved capital efficiency achieved in 2015 and continued improvements expected in 2016. The revised budget, which involves drilling between 125 and 135 gross wells (approximately 95% working interest), will utilize 7 to 8 drilling rigs, with up to 4 rigs drilling during breakup. As before, these locations will be selected from Peyto's internal inventory of over 2,000 Deep Basin locations with a focus on the Lower Cretaceous Spirit River group of formations in the greater Sundance and Brazeau areas.

These new locations are still expected to add between 45,000 boe/d and 50,000 boe/d of new production but at a reduced cost of \$10,500 - \$11,500/boe/d based on actual and improving cost reductions. A portion of this new production will offset a forecasted 37% base decline, while a portion will grow overall 2016 production to exit between 108,000 boe/d and 113,000 boe/d.

With current AECO natural gas prices forecast to average \$1.80/GJ in 2016, along with Canadian Light Sweet crude oil prices of \$50/bbl and blended with Peyto's natural gas hedges for 53% of its 2016 gas production at \$2.93/GJ, the Company expects to realize revenues of approximately \$3.00/Mcfe. After deducting total forecast cash costs of \$0.75/Mcfe, the \$2.25/Mcfe (\$13.50/boe) cash netback is expected to provide funding for the majority of the capital program with Peyto funding the balance from available working capital and bank lines. As always, Peyto will continue to maintain a strong balance sheet and only invest capital if its return objectives can be met.

2016 Outlook

The past year was a race between falling commodity prices, falling activity levels and falling service costs. Peyto, with its industry leading cost structure, has been a key beneficiary of this drop in activity which has translated into much lower costs to build and produce its natural gas resource plays, thus preserving returns on capital invested in the year. The year ahead looks to be a continuation of that same theme. Natural gas and oil prices have continued to fall, as has industry activity. Already, natural gas drilling activity in North America is less than half of what it was at this time last year. As a necessary result, costs too must continue to fall.

The supply response to a warm winter and bloated storage should be swift with fewer than 150 gas-directed drilling rigs running in North America. That's the lowest natural gas rig count in the last 30 years. While Peyto can, and will, continue to be aggressive in this environment, deploying a counter cyclical investment strategy to increase returns, it will not come at the expense of its long term financial health. As always, Peyto will maintain a disciplined, returns focused approach to all capital investments, ensuring that maximum possible returns are generated for shareholders, now and in the future.

Annual General Meeting

Peyto's Annual General Meeting of Shareholders is scheduled for 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 18, 2016 at the Metropolitan Conference Centre, Grand Lecture Theatre, 333 - 4th Avenue SW, Calgary, Alberta. Shareholders are encouraged to visit the Peyto website at www.peyto.com where there is a wealth of information designed to inform and educate investors. A monthly President's Report can also be found on the website which follows the progress of the capital program and the ensuing production growth, along with video and audio commentary from Peyto's senior management.

Peyto Head Office Moving

Effective April 25, 2016, the head office of Peyto will be moving to a new location at Suite 300, 600 – 3rd Avenue SW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2P 0G5.

(signed) "*Darren Gee*"

Darren Gee
President and CEO
March 2, 2016

Management's discussion and analysis

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. ("Peyto" or the "Company") for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") most current International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and International Accounting Standards ("IAS").

This discussion provides management's analysis of Peyto's historical financial and operating results and provides estimates of Peyto's future financial and operating performance based on information currently available. Actual results will vary from estimates and the variances may be significant. Readers should be aware that historical results are not necessarily indicative of future performance. This MD&A was prepared using information that is current as of March 1, 2016. Additional information about Peyto, including the most recently filed annual information form is available at www.sedar.com and on Peyto's website at www.peyto.com.

Certain information set forth in this MD&A, including management's assessment of Peyto's future plans and operations, contains forward-looking statements. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond these parties' control, including the impact of general economic conditions, industry conditions, volatility of commodity prices, currency fluctuations, imprecision of reserve estimates, environmental risks, competition from other industry participants, the lack of availability of qualified personnel or management, stock market volatility and ability to access sufficient capital from internal and external sources. Readers are cautioned that the assumptions used in the preparation of such information, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may prove to be imprecise and, as such, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements. Peyto's actual results, performance or achievement could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements and, accordingly, no assurance can be given that any of the events anticipated by the forward-looking statements will transpire or occur, or if any of them do so, what benefits that Peyto will derive there from.

All references are to Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated. Natural gas liquids and oil volumes are recorded in barrels of oil (bbl) and are converted to a thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf) using a ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl). Natural gas volumes recorded in thousand cubic feet (mcf) are converted to barrels of oil equivalent (boe) using the ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl).

OVERVIEW

Peyto is a Canadian energy company involved in the development and production of natural gas in Alberta's deep basin. As at December 31, 2015, the Company's total Proved plus Probable reserves were 3.5 trillion cubic feet equivalent (590 million barrels of oil equivalent) as evaluated by its independent petroleum engineers. Production is weighted approximately 92% to natural gas and 8% to natural gas liquids and oil.

The Peyto model is designed to deliver a superior total return with growth in value, assets, production and income, all on a debt adjusted per share basis. The model is built around three key strategies:

- Use technical expertise to achieve the best return on capital employed through the development of internally generated drilling projects.
- Build an asset base which is made up of high quality natural gas reserves.
- Balance dividends to shareholders with earnings, and cash flow, and balance funding for the capital program with cash flow, equity and available bank lines.

Operating results over the last seventeen years indicate that these strategies have been successfully implemented. This business model makes Peyto a truly unique energy company.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following is a summary of selected financial information of the Company for the periods indicated. Reference should be made to the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company, which are available at www.sedar.com.

| Year Ended December 31 (\$000 except per share amounts) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total revenue (before royalties) | 717,836 | 843,797 | 575,845 |
| Funds from operations | 565,473 | 662,788 | 437,742 |
| Per share – basic and diluted | 3.59 | 4.33 | 2.94 |
| Earnings | 137,561 | 261,778 | 142,627 |
| Per share – basic and diluted | 0.87 | 1.71 | 0.96 |
| Total assets | 3,357,514 | 3,127,065 | 2,555,156 |
| Total long-term debt | 1,045,000 | 925,000 | 875,000 |
| Dividends per share | 1.32 | 1.14 | 0.88 |

Funds from Operations

“Funds from operations” is a non-GAAP measure which represents cash flows from operating activities before changes in non-cash operating working capital and provision for future performance based compensation. Management considers funds from operations and per share calculations of funds from operations to be key measures as they demonstrate the Company’s ability to generate the cash necessary to pay dividends, repay debt and make capital investments. Management believes that by excluding the temporary impact of changes in non-cash operating working capital, funds from operations provides a useful measure of Peyto’s ability to generate cash that is not subject to short-term movements in operating working capital. The most directly comparable GAAP measure is cash flows from operating activities.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| (\$000 except per share amounts) | 2015 | | | | 2014 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 |
| Total revenue (net of royalties) | 184,943 | 163,727 | 166,327 | 175,820 | 205,125 | 196,062 | 189,830 | 191,457 |
| Funds from operations | 151,123 | 134,513 | 135,195 | 144,643 | 173,437 | 166,988 | 161,577 | 160,785 |
| Per share – basic and diluted | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
| Earnings | 43,406 | 37,347 | 12,295 | 44,513 | 68,597 | 68,893 | 62,159 | 62,129 |
| Per share – basic and diluted | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Dividends | 52,456 | 52,456 | 52,456 | 50,781 | 49,181 | 46,107 | 43,033 | 36,505 |
| Per share – basic and diluted | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.24 |

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Production

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Natural gas (mmcf/d) | 540.5 | 451.0 | 474.2 | 412.4 |
| Oil & natural gas liquids (bbl/d) | 6,943 | 8,077 | 6,643 | 7,632 |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d) | 97,028 | 83,251 | 85,674 | 76,372 |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (mmcfe/d) | 582.2 | 499.5 | 514.0 | 458.2 |

Natural gas production averaged 540.5 mmcf/d in the fourth quarter of 2015, 20 percent higher than the 451.0 mmcf/d reported for the same period in 2014. Oil and natural gas liquids production averaged 6,943 bbl/d, a decrease of 14 percent from 8,077 bbl/d reported in the prior year primarily due to propane rejection. Aggregate fourth quarter production increased 17 percent from 499.5 mmcfe/d to 582.2 mmcfe/d. Production for the year increased 12 percent from 458.2 mmcfe/d to 514.0 mmcfe/d (76,372 boe/d to 85,674 boe/d). The production increases are attributable to Peyto’s capital program and resulting production additions offset by TCPL pipeline capacity constraints.

Oil & Natural Gas Liquids Production by Component

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Condensate (bbl/d) | 3,319 | 2,984 | 2,880 | 2,851 |
| Propane (bbl/d) | 323 | 1,724 | 752 | 1,676 |
| Butane (bbl/d) | 1,258 | 1,513 | 1,247 | 1,425 |
| Pentane (bbl/d) | 1,805 | 1,638 | 1,582 | 1,488 |
| Other NGL's (bbl/d) | 237 | 218 | 182 | 192 |
| Oil & natural gas liquids (bbl/d) | 6,942 | 8,077 | 6,643 | 7,632 |
| Million cubic feet equivalent (mmcf/d) | 41.7 | 48.5 | 39.9 | 45.8 |

The liquid production to sales gas ratio declined from 18 bbl/mmcf in Q4 2014 to 13 bbl/mmcf in Q4 2015 primarily as a result of intentional changes to gas plant operating parameters. Liquid propane prices declined to the point where propane had more value as part of the sales gas stream. Peyto is continuing to optimize its plant processes to leave as much propane in the gas stream as possible in order to realize a higher blended price for its NGLs, as evidenced in the drop in propane production from 1,724 boe/d in Q4 2014 to 323 boe/d in Q4 2015. Peyto's long-term strategy of owning and controlling production from the wellhead to the sales delivery point continues to allow the Company to respond quickly to changing conditions and thereby maximize profitability.

Commodity Prices

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | 39.88 | 55.13 | 40.40 | 71.71 |
| Hedging – ngl's (\$/bbl) | - | 0.34 | - | (1.03) |
| Oil and natural gas liquids – after hedging (\$/mcf) | 39.88 | 55.47 | 40.40 | 70.68 |
| Natural gas (\$/mcf) | 2.82 | 4.24 | 2.95 | 4.72 |
| Hedging – gas (\$/mcf) | 0.52 | (0.02) | 0.63 | (0.42) |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/mcf) | 3.34 | 4.22 | 3.58 | 4.30 |
| Total Hedging (\$/mcf) | 0.49 | (0.01) | 0.58 | (0.40) |
| Total Hedging (\$/boe) | 2.92 | (0.07) | 3.47 | (2.39) |

Peyto's natural gas price, before hedging, averaged \$2.82/mcf during the fourth quarter of 2015, a decrease of 34 percent from \$4.24/mcf reported for the equivalent period in 2014. Oil and natural gas liquids prices averaged \$39.88/bbl, a decrease of 28 percent from \$55.13/bbl a year earlier.

Commodity Prices by Component

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/mcf) | 3.34 | 4.22 | 3.58 | 4.30 |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/GJ) | 2.90 | 3.70 | 3.12 | 3.77 |
| AECO monthly (\$/GJ) | 2.51 | 3.80 | 2.62 | 4.19 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | | | | |
| Condensate (\$/bbl) | 45.29 | 68.72 | 51.09 | 90.31 |
| Propane (\$/bbl) | (4.82) | 20.45 | (1.99) | 26.58 |
| Butane (\$/bbl) | 22.47 | 46.44 | 23.55 | 53.03 |
| Pentane (\$/bbl) | 49.05 | 72.30 | 51.79 | 92.86 |
| Total Oil and natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | 39.88 | 55.47 | 40.40 | 70.68 |
| Canadian Light Sweet postings (\$/bbl) | 52.02 | 74.41 | 56.97 | 94.04 |

liquids prices are Peyto realized prices in Canadian dollars adjusted for fractionation and transportation.

Revenue

| (\$000) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Natural gas | 140,028 | 175,653 | 511,315 | 710,585 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids | 25,472 | 41,221 | 97,959 | 199,827 |
| Hedging gain (loss) | 26,106 | (553) | 108,562 | (66,615) |
| Total revenue | 191,606 | 216,321 | 717,836 | 843,797 |

For the three months ended December 31, 2015, revenue decreased 11 percent to \$191.6 million from \$216.3 million for the same period in 2014. Revenue for 2015 decreased 15 per cent to \$717.8 million from \$843.8 million in 2014. The decrease in revenue for the period was a result of decreased realized commodity prices and natural gas liquids production volumes offset by an increase in natural gas production volumes, as detailed in the following table:

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | | Twelve months ended December 31 | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | \$million | 2015 | 2014 | \$million |
| Total Revenue, December 31, 2014 | | | 216.3 | | | 843.8 |
| Revenue change due to: | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | | | | | |
| Volume (mmcf) | 49,727 | 41,496 | 34.7 | 173,076 | 150,541 | 96.9 |
| Price (\$/mcf) | \$3.34 | \$4.22 | (43.7) | \$3.58 | \$4.30 | (124.3) |
| Oil & NGL | | | | | | |
| Volume (mdbl) | 639 | 743 | (5.8) | 1,294 | 2,786 | (25.3) |
| Price (\$/bbl) | \$39.88 | \$55.47 | (9.9) | \$40.40 | \$70.68 | (73.3) |
| Total Revenue, December 31, 2015 | | | 191.6 | | | 717.8 |

Royalties

Royalties are paid to the owners of the mineral rights with whom leases are held, including the provincial government of Alberta. Alberta Natural Gas Crown royalties are invoiced on the Crown's share of production based on a monthly established Alberta Reference Price. The Alberta Reference Price is a monthly weighted average price of gas consumed in Alberta and gas exported from Alberta reduced for transportation and marketing allowances.

| (\$000 except per share amounts) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Royalties | 6,663 | 11,196 | 27,019 | 61,324 |
| % of sales before hedging | 4.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 6.7 |
| % of sales after hedging | 3.5 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 7.3 |
| \$/mcf | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.37 |
| \$/boe | 0.75 | 1.46 | 0.86 | 2.20 |

For the fourth quarter of 2015, royalties averaged \$0.13/mcfe or approximately 4.0% of Peyto's total petroleum and natural gas sales excluding hedges.

Substantially all of Peyto's production is in the Province of Alberta. Under the Alberta Royalty Framework ("ARF") the Crown royalty rate varies with production rates and commodity prices. The royalty rate expressed as a percentage of sales revenue will fluctuate from period to period due to the fact that the Alberta Reference Price can differ significantly from the commodity prices realized by Peyto and that hedging gains and losses are not subject to royalties.

In addition to the basic underlying royalty structure (the ARF), Alberta has instituted additional features that impact the royalty paid on gas and gas liquids for new wells drilled subsequent to January 1, 2009. These additional features include:

1. A one year flat 5% royalty period (18 months for horizontal wells) for each new well but capped at a cumulative production level of 500 MMcf for each new well, and
2. A Natural Gas Deep Drilling Holiday program that provides a royalty holiday value for new wells based on meterage drilled. This holiday feature further reduces the royalty for new wells to a minimum of 5% for a maximum 5 year period from on-stream date. This benefit sequentially follows the benefit under point (1) above.

Alberta's Modified Royalty Framework

The Alberta Government released the report of its Royalty Review and Advisory Panel on January 29, 2016. The report sets forth a new Modified Royalty Framework (MRF) that is expected to commence in 2017. The government indicated it intends to adopt the recommendations of the panel. Details on the quantitative aspect of the MRF are expected to be released at the end of March, 2016 after a Calibration Team completes work that is presently in progress.

Some highlights of the information known from the report thus far include:

1. All existing wells and those drilled during 2016 will be subject to the existing Alberta Royalty Framework for the next 10 years after which time they will revert to the MRF.
2. New wells drilled and completed after January 1, 2017 will be subject to the MRF
3. The MRF shall consist of three phases of royalties for wells.
4. The aggregate effect of the three phases are to provide an optimal return for Albertans while recognizing the need for a need for companies to recoup investments at the front end of a wells life. The new system is intended to preserve the same basic internal rates of return for well investments in the future as those which are characteristic of returns of same play types at present.
5. The initial phase (Pre-Payout Period) will consist of a flat 5% royalty (similar to the existing ARF) which will apply to gas and gas liquids. The initial phase duration is contemplated to last until a given well's accumulated revenue totals an amount equal to a formula-based Drilling and Completion cost. This cost recovery quantity has yet to be defined clearly by the government and is subject to much discussion and consideration at present.
6. A second phase (Post Payout Period) will be based on a commodity price dependent royalty rate. This differs from past royalty regimes where the royalty rate was dependent on both price and well production rate. The government has not released any information about the magnitude and price dependency of this royalty rate.
7. The third and final phase (Post Maturity Threshold) of royalty for a well will be the return to a flat 5% royalty rate when a well declines to a certain rate (contemplated to be 200 Mcfd for gas wells).

While the details of the MRF have yet to be fully defined for complete evaluation of its impact, Peyto believes it may contain certain elements advantageous to Peyto's investment focus. Firstly, the intent of the program to preserve project rates of return suggest that the program will not adversely impact play type economics. Secondly, the program looks to favor low cost drillers and producers who should reap the benefit of a longer Pre-Payout period. The duration of this period is expected to be calibrated to industry average cost structure suggesting those operators who drill cheaper will get a higher proportion of capital payback in this first phase.

In its 17 years history, Peyto has invested over \$4.7 billion in capital projects, found and developed 4.6 TCFe of gas reserves, and paid over \$762 million in royalties.

Operating Costs & Transportation

Peyto's operating expenses include all costs with respect to day-to-day well and facility operations.

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Operating costs (\$000) | 13,450 | 14,380 | 54,121 | 57,575 |
| \$/mcf | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| \$/boe | 1.51 | 1.88 | 1.73 | 2.07 |
| Transportation (\$000) | 8,694 | 6,014 | 28,996 | 21,917 |
| \$/mcf | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| \$/boe | 0.97 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 0.79 |

For the fourth quarter, operating expenses decreased 6% compared to the same quarter of 2014. On a unit-of-production basis, operating costs decreased 19% averaging \$0.25/mcf in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to \$0.31/mcf for the equivalent period in 2014 due to a continued focus on controlling labor costs by optimizing field processes and practices, lower power, chemical and maintenance costs and increases in production volume as a result of a successful capital program. Operating costs decreased 15% from \$0.34/mcf in 2014 to \$0.29/mcf in 2015.

Transportation expenses increased 23% from 0.13/mcf in the fourth quarter 2014 to \$0.16/mcf in the fourth quarter 2015 due to increased TCPL tolls and the inclusion of a pipeline abandonment surcharge starting January 2015.

General and Administrative Expenses

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| G&A expenses (\$000) | 4,362 | 3,804 | 16,685 | 15,765 |
| Overhead recoveries | (1,588) | (1,094) | (9,580) | (9,968) |
| Net G&A expenses | 2,774 | 2,710 | 7,105 | 5,797 |
| \$/mcf | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| \$/boe | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.21 |

For the fourth quarter, general and administrative expenses before overhead recoveries increased 15% from the same quarter of 2014. General and administrative expenses averaged \$0.08/mcf before overhead recoveries of \$0.03/mcf for net general and administrative expenses of \$0.05/mcf in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to \$0.08/mcf before overhead recoveries of \$0.02/mcf for net general and administrative expenses of \$0.06/mcf in the fourth quarter of 2014. General and administrative expenses for 2015 were \$0.04/mcf, compared to \$0.03/mcf in 2014.

Peyto capitalizes general and administrative costs and market and reserves based compensation related to the execution of its capital program. The majority of Peyto's general and administrative costs are related to the execution of its capital program. In 2015, Peyto capitalized \$8.0 million of expenses directly attributable to exploration and development activities compared to \$7.8 million in 2014.

Performance Based Compensation

The Company awards performance based compensation to employees and key consultants annually. The performance based compensation is comprised of market and reserve value based components.

The reserve value based component is 4% of the incremental increase in value, if any, as adjusted to reflect changes in debt, equity, dividends, general and administrative expenses and interest expense, of proved producing reserves calculated using a constant price at December 31 of the current year and a discount rate of 8%. An estimate of reserve additions is made quarterly and is used to calculate an accrued reserve value based expense for the period. This methodology can generate interim results which vary significantly from the final compensation paid. Compensation expense of \$4.3 million was recorded for the quarter ended December 31, 2015. The cumulative provision is \$10.8 million.

| (\$millions except per share values) | 2015 | 2014 | Change |
|--|---------|---------|--------------|
| Net present value of proved producing reserves @ 8% based on constant InSite 2015 price forecast | 1,729 | 1,604 | |
| Net debt before performance based compensation | (1,097) | (1,011) | |
| 2015 dividends, G&A and interest | | (250) | |
| Net value | 632 | 342 | |
| Shares outstanding (millions) | 159 | 154 | |
| Net value per share | 3.98 | 2.33 | 1.75 |
| Shares outstanding at beginning of year (millions) | | | 153.859 |
| Equity adjusted increase in value | | | 269.3 |
| 2015 reserve value based compensation @ 4% | | | 10.77 |

Under the market based component, rights with a three year vesting period are allocated to employees and key consultants. The number of rights outstanding at any time is not to exceed 6% of the total number of common shares outstanding. At December 31 of each year, all vested rights are automatically cancelled and, if applicable, paid out in cash. Compensation is calculated as the number of vested rights multiplied by the total of the market appreciation (over the price at the date of grant) and associated dividends of a common share for that period. The 2015 market based component was based on i) 1.0 million vested rights at an average grant price of \$22.83, average cumulative dividends of \$0.88 and a ten day weighted average price of \$32.27 and ii) 1.0 million vested rights at an average grant price of \$32.43, average cumulative dividends of \$1.14 and a ten day weighted average price of \$34.34. The 10 day weighted average price at December 31, 2015 was \$24.09 so the 3.3 million rights granted January 1, 2015 at an average grant price of \$34.35 were valued at NIL and were accordingly cancelled.

The total amount expensed under these plans was as follows:

| (\$000) | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Market based compensation | 12,610 | 13,348 |
| Reserve based compensation | 10,773 | 5,829 |
| Total market and reserves based compensation | 23,383 | 19,177 |

Future Market Based Compensation

For the future market based component, compensation costs as at December 31, 2015 were a recovery of \$7.3 million related to 1.0 million non-vested rights with an average grant price of \$32.43 and average cumulative dividends of \$1.14. (2014 – \$0.9 million related to 1.0 million non-vested rights with an average grant price of \$22.83, average cumulative dividends of \$0.88 and 2.1 million non-vested rights with an average grant price of \$32.43 and average cumulative dividends of \$1.14). The cumulative provision for future performance based compensation as at December 31, 2015 was \$2.0 million (2014 - \$9.2 million).

Subsequent to December 31, 2015, 3.8 million rights were granted at a price of \$24.09 to be valued at the ten day weighted average market price at December 31, 2016 and vesting 1/3 on each of December 31, 2016, December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018.

Rights Outstanding Under Market Based Compensation Plan

| Vesting Date | Valued but Not Vested | | To be Valued December 31, 2016 | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Number of Rights | Value (\$) | Number of Rights | Average Grant Price (\$) |
| December 31, 2016 | 1,004,718 | 3,107,496 | * | 1,266,167 |
| | - | - | | 24.09 |
| December 31, 2017 | - | - | | 1,266,167 |
| December 31, 2018 | - | - | | 1,266,167 |
| | | | | 24.09 |

*Valued on December 31, 2014 at \$34.34

Interest Expense

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Interest expense (\$000) | 8,901 | 8,585 | 35,122 | 34,397 |
| \$/mcf | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| \$/boe | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.23 |
| Average interest rate | 3.5% | 3.8% | 3.6% | 4.0% |

Fourth quarter 2015 interest expense was \$8.9 million (\$0.16/mcfe) compared to \$8.6 million (\$0.19/mcfe) for the fourth quarter 2014. 2015 interest expense was \$35.1 million or \$0.18/mcfe compared to \$34.4 million or \$0.21/mcfe for the equivalent period in 2014.

Netbacks

| (\$/mcf) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Gross Sale Price | 3.09 | 4.72 | 3.20 | 5.44 |
| Hedging gain (loss) | 0.49 | (0.01) | 0.63 | (0.40) |
| Net Sale Price | 3.58 | 4.71 | 3.83 | 5.04 |
| Less: Royalties | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.38 |
| Operating costs | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| Transportation | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| Field netback | 3.04 | 4.03 | 3.24 | 4.19 |
| General and administrative | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| Cash netback (\$/mcf) | 2.83 | 3.78 | 3.02 | 3.95 |
| Cash netback (\$/boe) | 16.93 | 22.64 | 18.08 | 23.72 |

Netbacks are a non-IFRS measure that represents the profit margin associated with the production and sale of petroleum and natural gas. Netbacks are per unit of production measures used to assess Peyto's performance and efficiency. The primary factors that produce Peyto's strong netbacks and high margins are a low cost structure and the high heat content of its natural gas that results in higher commodity prices. Hedging activity increased Peyto's achieved price/mcfe by 16% from \$3.09 to \$3.58 for the quarter.

Depletion, Depreciation and Amortization

Under IFRS, Peyto uses proved plus probable reserves as its depletion base to calculate depletion expense. The 2015 fourth quarter provision for depletion, depreciation and amortization totaled \$88.0 million as compared to \$83.6 million in 2014 due to higher levels of production and a larger asset base. The 2015 provision for depletion, depreciation and accretion totaled \$325.5 million compared to \$291.7 million in 2014. On a unit-of-production basis, depletion, depreciation and amortization costs for 2015 averaged \$1.73/mcfe compared to \$1.74/mcfe for 2014.

Due to the decrease in commodity prices, an impairment test was conducted to determine if the carrying value of the property, plant and equipment exceeds the estimated fair value based on the Reserve Report dated December 31, 2015. Based on this analysis, there is no impairment of the value of property, plant and equipment.

Income Taxes

The deferred income tax expense is \$16.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (2014 - \$22.7 million). Deferred income tax expense for 2015 is \$86.4 million, including an adjustment of \$28.1 million on account of the increase in the Alberta Corporate Income Tax rate from 10% to 12% (2014 - \$87.3 million). Resource pools are generated from the capital program, which are available to offset income tax liabilities.

| Income Tax Pool type (\$ millions) | December 31, | December 31, | Annual deductibility |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Canadian Oil and Gas Property Expense | 211.2 | 232.3 | 10% declining balance |
| Canadian Development Expense | 857.6 | 806.6 | 30% declining balance |
| Canadian Exploration Expense | 98.3 | 85.7 | 100% |
| Undepreciated Capital Cost | 398.3 | 385.3 | Primarily 25% declining balance |
| Other | 32.8 | 32.1 | Various, 7% declining balance to 20% |
| Total Federal Tax Pools | 1,598.2 | 1,542.0 | |
| Additional Alberta Tax Pools | 44.9 | 44.9 | Primarily 100% |

MARKETING

Commodity Price Risk Management

The Company is a party to certain off balance sheet derivative financial instruments, including fixed price contracts. The Company enters into these forward contracts with well-established counterparties for the purpose of protecting a portion of its future revenues from the volatility of oil and natural gas prices. In order to minimize counterparty risk, these marketing contracts are executed with financial institutions which are members of Peyto's banking syndicate. During the fourth quarter of 2015, a realized hedging gain of \$26.1 million was recorded as compared to a \$0.5 million loss for the equivalent period in 2014. A summary of contracts outstanding in respect of the hedging activities are as follows:

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.825/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.6725/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.71/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.615/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.5025/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.515/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.54/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.5125/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.59/GJ |

As at December 31, 2015, Peyto had committed to the future sale of 177,150,000 gigajoules (GJ) of natural gas at an average price of \$2.89 per GJ or \$3.32 per mcf. Had these contracts been closed on December 31, 2015, Peyto would have realized a gain in the amount of \$62.9 million.

Subsequent to December 31, 2015 Peyto entered into the following contracts:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.45/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.50/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.40/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.66/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.75/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.605/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.50/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.42/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.45/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.10/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.175/GJ |
| November 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$ 2.51/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.50/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.40/GJ |

Commodity Price Sensitivity

Peyto's earnings are largely determined by commodity prices for crude oil and natural gas including the US/Canadian dollar exchange rate. Volatility in these oil and gas prices can cause fluctuations in Peyto's earnings. Low operating costs and a long reserve life reduce Peyto's sensitivity to changes in commodity prices.

Currency Risk Management

The Company is exposed to fluctuations in the Canadian/US dollar exchange ratio since commodities are effectively priced in US dollars and converted to Canadian dollars. In the short term, this risk is mitigated indirectly as a result of a commodity hedging strategy that is conducted in a Canadian dollar currency. Over the long term, the Canadian dollar tends to rise as commodity prices rise. There is a similar correlation between oil and gas prices. Currently Peyto has not entered into any agreements to further manage its currency risks.

Interest Rate Risk Management

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk in relation to interest expense on its revolving credit facility while interest rates on the senior notes are fixed. Currently there are no agreements to manage the risk on the credit facility. At December 31 2015, the increase or decrease in earnings for each 100 bps (1%) change in interest rate paid on the outstanding revolving demand loan amounts to approximately \$1.5 million per quarter. Average debt outstanding for the quarter was \$1,016.2 million (including \$420 million fixed rate debt).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Funds from operations is reconciled to cash flows from operating activities below:

| (\$000) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | 130,483 | 193,145 | 530,208 | 642,531 |
| Change in non-cash working capital | 13,168 | (24,898) | 18,109 | (2,046) |
| Change in provision for performance based compensation | (15,911) | (13,987) | (6,227) | 3,126 |
| Performance based compensation | 23,383 | 19,177 | 23,383 | 19,177 |
| Funds from operations | 151,123 | 173,437 | 565,473 | 662,788 |
| Funds from operations per share | 0.95 | 1.13 | 3.59 | 4.33 |

For the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2015, funds from operations totaled \$151.1 million or \$0.95 per share, as compared to \$173.4 million or \$1.13 per share during the same quarter in 2014. Funds from operations for the year decreased 14% from \$662.8 million in 2014 to \$565.5 million in 2015 due to decreases in commodity prices offset in part by increases in natural gas production.

Peyto's policy is to balance dividends to shareholders with earnings and cash flow, and balance funding for the capital program with cash flow, equity and available bank lines. Earnings and cash flow are sensitive to changes in commodity prices, exchange rates, income tax rates and other factors that are beyond Peyto's control. Current volatility in commodity prices creates uncertainty as to the funds from operations and capital expenditure budget. Accordingly, results are assessed throughout the year and operational plans revised as necessary to reflect the most current information.

Revenues will be impacted by drilling success and production volumes as well as external factors such as the market prices for commodities and the exchange rate of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar.

Long-Term Debt

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Bank credit facility | 625,000 | 605,000 |
| Senior unsecured notes | 420,000 | 320,000 |
| Balance, end of the period | 1,045,000 | 925,000 |

The Company has a syndicated \$1.0 billion extendible unsecured revolving credit facility with a stated term date of December 4, 2019. In addition, syndicate members have agreed to add an accordion provision that allows for the pre-approved increase of the facility up to \$1.3 billion, at the Company's request, subject to additional commitments by existing facility lenders or by adding new financial institutions to the syndicate. The bank facility is made up of a \$30 million working capital sub-tranche and a \$970 million production line. The facilities are available on a revolving basis for a four year period. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at Canadian bank prime or US base rate, or, at Peyto's option, Canadian dollar bankers' acceptances or US dollar LIBOR loan rates, plus applicable margin and stamping fees. The total stamping fees range between 50 basis points and 215 basis points on Canadian bank prime and US base rate borrowings and between 150 basis points and 315 basis points on Canadian dollar bankers' acceptance and US dollar LIBOR borrowings. The undrawn portion of the facility is subject to a standby fee in the range of 30 to 63 basis points.

On July 3, 2014, Peyto issued \$50 million of senior unsecured notes pursuant to a note purchase agreement. The notes were issued by way of private placement and rank equally with Peyto's obligations under its bank facility. The notes have a coupon rate of 3.79% and mature on July 3, 2022. Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears.

On May 1, 2015, Peyto issued \$100 million senior unsecured notes pursuant to a note purchase agreement. The notes were issued by way of private placement and rank equally with Peyto's obligations under its bank facility. The notes have a coupon rate of 4.26% and mature on May 1, 2025. Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears.

The remaining \$270 million senior unsecured notes bear interest at 3.79% - 4.88% and have the following maturity dates: \$100 million in January 2019, \$120 million in December 2020, \$50 million in September 2022.

Peyto is subject to the following financial covenants as defined in the credit facility and note purchase agreements:

- Long-term debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 3.0 times trailing twelve month net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes;

as at December 31, 2015 – 1.9:1.0

- Long-term debt and subordinated debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 4.0 times trailing twelve month net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes;

as at December 31, 2015 – 1.9:1.0

- Trailing twelve months net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes to exceed 3.0 times trailing twelve months interest expense;

as at December 31, 2015 – 16.5 times

- Long-term debt and subordinated debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 55 per cent of shareholders' equity and long-term debt and subordinated debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items.

as at December 31, 2015 – 41 per cent

Peyto is in compliance with all financial covenants and has no subordinated debt as at December 31, 2015.

Peyto's total borrowing capacity is \$1.42 billion of which the credit facility is \$1.0 billion.

Peyto believes funds generated from operations, together with borrowings under the credit facility will be sufficient to maintain dividends, finance current operations, and fund the planned capital expenditure program of \$500 to \$550 million for 2016. The total amount of capital invested in 2016 will be driven by the number and quality of projects generated. Capital will only be invested if it meets the long term objectives of the Company. The majority of the capital program will involve drilling, completion and tie-in of lower risk development gas wells. Peyto's rapidly scaleable business model has the flexibility to match planned capital expenditures to actual cash flow.

Net Debt

"Net debt" is a non-GAAP measure that is the sum of long-term debt and working capital excluding the current financial derivative instruments and current provision for future performance based compensation. It is used by management to analyze the financial position and leverage of the Company. Net debt is reconciled below to long-term debt which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure:

| (\$000) | As at December 31, 2015 | As at December 31, 2014 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bank credit facility | 625,000 | 605,000 |
| Senior secured notes | 420,000 | 320,000 |
| Current assets | (167,455) | (218,097) |
| Current liabilities | 163,886 | 217,443 |
| Financial derivative instruments - current | 65,169 | 93,387 |
| Provision for future performance based compensation - current | (1,998) | (8,225) |
| Net debt | 1,104,602 | 1,009,508 |

Capital

Authorized: Unlimited number of voting common shares

Issued and Outstanding

| Common Shares (no par value) | Number of Common Shares | Amount (\$000) |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 153,690,808 | 1,292,398 |
| Common shares issued by private placement | 230,465 | 7,732 |
| Equity offering | 5,037,000 | 172,517 |
| Common share issuance costs, (net of tax) | - | (5,383) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 158,958,273 | 1,467,264 |

On December 31, 2014, Peyto completed a private placement of 168,920 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$5.6 million (\$33.30 per share). These common shares were issued January 7, 2015.

On March 25, 2015, Peyto completed a private placement of 61,545 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$2.1 million (\$34.23 per common share).

On April 16, 2015, Peyto completed a public offering for 5,037,000 common shares at a price of \$34.25 per common share, for net proceeds of \$165.2 million.

Shares to be issued

On December 31, 2015 the Company completed a private placement of 149,030 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$3.8 million (\$25.29 per share). These common shares were issued on January 6, 2016.

Capital Expenditures

Net capital expenditures for the fourth quarter of 2015 totaled \$162.4 million. Exploration and development related activity represented \$124.5 million (77% of total), while expenditures on facilities, gathering systems and equipment totaled \$35.2 million (22% of total) and land, seismic and acquisitions net of dispositions totaled \$2.8 million (2% of total). The following table summarizes capital expenditures for the year:

| (\$000) | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Land | - | 4,012 | 5,451 | 12,750 |
| Seismic | 2,158 | 1,731 | 6,530 | 8,114 |
| Drilling | 70,589 | 80,578 | 287,560 | 311,794 |
| Completions | 53,881 | 53,481 | 173,445 | 183,471 |
| Equipping & Tie-ins | 16,221 | 16,687 | 48,716 | 53,777 |
| Facilities & Pipelines | 18,953 | 23,208 | 74,417 | 120,210 |
| Acquisitions | 36 | - | 3,143 | 273 |
| Dispositions | - | - | (6,282) | - |
| Office | 604 | - | 800 | - |
| Total Capital Expenditures | 162,442 | 179,697 | 593,780 | 690,389 |

Dividends

| | Three Months ended December 31 | | Twelve months ended December 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Funds from operations (\$000) | 151,123 | 171,737 | 565,473 | 661,088 |
| Total dividends (\$000) | 52,456 | 49,181 | 208,149 | 174,826 |
| Total dividends per common share (\$) | 0.33 | 0.32 | 1.32 | 1.14 |
| Payout ratio (%) | 35 | 29 | 37 | 26 |

Peyto's policy is to balance dividends to shareholders with earnings and cash flow; and funding for the capital program with cash flow, equity and available bank lines. The Board of Directors is prepared to adjust the payout ratio levels (dividends declared divided by funds from operations) to achieve the desired dividends while maintaining an appropriate capital structure.

Contractual Obligations

In addition to those recorded on the Company's balance sheet, the following is a summary of Peyto's contractual obligations and commitments as at December 31, 2015:

| (\$000) | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Thereafter |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| Interest payments ⁽¹⁾ | 18,385 | 18,385 | 18,385 | 16,190 | 13,995 | 27,840 |
| Transportation commitments | 24,020 | 23,409 | 32,673 | 30,148 | 23,192 | 96,290 |
| Operating leases | 1,914 | 1,654 | 1,295 | 1,295 | 1,295 | 7,767 |
| Other | 2,172 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 46,491 | 43,448 | 52,353 | 47,633 | 38,482 | 131,897 |

⁽¹⁾ Fixed interest payments on senior unsecured notes

LITIGATION

On October 1, 2013, two shareholders (the "Plaintiffs") of Poseidon Concepts Corp. ("Poseidon") filed an application to seek leave of the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench (the "Court") to pursue a class action lawsuit against the Company, as a successor to new Open Range Energy Corp. ("New Open Range"). The proposed action contains various claims relating to alleged misrepresentations in disclosure documents of Poseidon (not New Open Range), which claims are also alleged in class action lawsuits filed in Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec earlier in 2013 against Poseidon and certain of its current and former directors and officers, and underwriters involved in the public offering of common shares of Poseidon completed in February 2012. The proposed class action seeks various declarations and damages including compensatory damages which the Plaintiffs estimate at \$651 million and punitive damages which the Plaintiffs estimate at \$10 million, which damage amounts appear to be duplicative of damage amounts claimed in the class actions against Poseidon, certain of its current and former directors and officers, and underwriters.

New Open Range was incorporated on September 14, 2011 solely for purposes of participating in a plan of arrangement with Poseidon (formerly named Open Range Energy Corp. ("Old Open Range")), which was completed on November 1, 2011. Pursuant to such arrangement, Poseidon completed a corporate reorganization resulting in two separate publicly-traded companies: Poseidon, which continued to carry on the energy service and supply business; and New Open Range, which carried on Poseidon's former oil and gas exploration and production business. Peyto acquired all of the issued and outstanding common shares of New Open Range on August 14, 2012. On April 9, 2013, Poseidon obtained creditor protection under the Companies' Creditor Protection Act.

On October 31, 2013, Poseidon filed a lawsuit with the Court naming the Company as a co-defendant along with the former directors and officers of Poseidon, the former directors and officers of Old Open Range and the former directors and officers of New Open Range. Poseidon claims, among other things, that the Company is vicariously liable for the alleged wrongful acts and breaches of duty of the directors, officers and employees of New Open Range.

On July 3, 2014, the Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit with the Court against KPMG LLP, Poseidon's and Old Open Range's former auditors, making allegations substantially similar to those in the other claims. On July 29, 2014, KPMG LLP filed a statement of defense and a third party claim against Poseidon, the Company and the former directors and officers of Poseidon. The third party claim seeks, among other things, an indemnity, or alternatively contribution, from the third party defendants with respect to any judgment awarded against KPMG LLP.

The allegations against New Open Range contained in the claims described above are based on factual matters that pre-existed the Company's acquisition of New Open Range. The Company has not yet been required to defend either of the actions. If it is required to defend the actions, the Company intends to aggressively protect its interests and the interests of its Shareholders and will seek all available legal remedies in defending the actions.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Certain directors of Peyto are considered to have significant influence over other reporting entities that Peyto engages in commercial transactions with. Such services are provided in the normal course of business and at market rates. These directors are not involved in the day to day operational decision making of the Company. The dollar value of the transactions between Peyto and each of the related reporting entities is summarized below:

| (\$000) | | | December 31 | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Director | Company | Description | 2015 | | 2014 | |
| | | | Expense | Payable | Expense | Payable |
| Don Gray | Petrus Resources Ltd. | Chairman of the Board | (15.4) | 2.0 | 256.8 | 4.6 |
| Michael MacBean | NCSG Hauling & Rigging Ltd. (subsidiary of NCSG Crane and Heavy Haul) ⁽¹⁾ | Director, NCSG Crane and Heavy Haul | 1,162.2 | 42.2 | 588.8 | 300.7 |
| Stephen Chetner | Burnet Duckworth & Palmer LLP | Partner | 1,199.5 | 867.2 | 759.2 | 744.8 |

⁽¹⁾ was not a related party until August 2014

RISK MANAGEMENT

Investors who purchase shares are participating in the total returns from a portfolio of western Canadian natural gas producing properties. As such, the total returns earned by investors and the value of the shares are subject to numerous risks inherent in the oil and natural gas industry.

Expected returns depend largely on the volume of petroleum and natural gas production and the price received for such production, along with the associated costs. The price received for oil depends on a number of factors, including West Texas Intermediate oil prices, Canadian/US currency exchange rates, quality differentials and Edmonton par oil prices. The price received for natural gas production is primarily dependent on current Alberta market prices. Peyto's marketing strategy is designed to smooth out short term fluctuations in the price of natural gas through future sales. It is meant to be methodical and consistent and to avoid speculation.

Although Peyto's focus is on internally generated drilling programs, any acquisition of oil and natural gas assets depends on an assessment of value at the time of acquisition. Incorrect assessments of value can adversely affect dividends to shareholders and the value of the shares. Peyto employs experienced staff and performs appropriate levels of due diligence on the analysis of acquisition targets, including a detailed examination of reserve reports; if appropriate, re-engineering of reserves for a large portion of the properties to ensure the results are consistent; site examinations of facilities for environmental liabilities; detailed examination of balance sheet accounts; review of contracts; review of prior year tax returns and modeling of the acquisition to attempt to ensure accretive results to the shareholders.

Inherent in development of the existing oil and gas reserves are the risks, among others, of drilling dry holes, encountering production or drilling difficulties or experiencing high decline rates in producing wells. To minimize these risks, Peyto employs experienced staff to evaluate and operate wells and utilize appropriate technology in operations. In addition, prudent work practices and procedures, safety programs and risk management principles, including insurance coverage protect Peyto against certain potential losses.

The value of Peyto's shares is based on among other things, the underlying value of the oil and natural gas reserves. Geological and operational risks can affect the quantity and quality of reserves and the cost of ultimately recovering those reserves. Lower oil and gas prices increase the risk of write-downs on oil and gas property investments. In order to mitigate this risk, proven and probable oil and gas reserves are evaluated each year by a firm of independent reservoir engineers. The reserves committee of the Board of Directors reviews and approves the reserve report.

Access to markets may be restricted at times by pipeline or processing capacity. These risks are minimized by controlling as much of the processing and transportation activities as possible and ensuring transportation and processing contracts are in place with reliable cost efficient counterparties.

The petroleum and natural gas industry is subject to extensive controls, regulatory policies and income and resource taxes imposed by various levels of government. These regulations, controls and taxation policies are amended from time to time. Peyto has no control over the level of government intervention or taxation in the petroleum and natural gas industry. Peyto operates in such a manner to ensure, to the best of its knowledge that it is in compliance with all applicable regulations and are able to respond to changes as they occur.

The petroleum and natural gas industry is subject to both environmental regulations and an increased environmental awareness. Peyto has reviewed its environmental risks and is, to the best of its knowledge, in compliance with the appropriate environmental legislation and have determined that there is no current material impact on operations. Peyto

employs environmentally responsible business operations, and looks to both Alberta provincial authorities and Canada's federal authorities for direction and regulation regarding environmental and climate change legislation.

Peyto is subject to financial market risk. In order to maintain substantial rates of growth, Peyto must continue reinvesting in, drilling for or acquiring petroleum and natural gas. The capital expenditure program is funded primarily through funds from operations, debt and, if appropriate, equity.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that: (i) material information relating to the Company is made known to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer by others, particularly during the period in which the annual and interim filings are being prepared; and (ii) information required to be disclosed by the Company in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in securities legislation. Such officers have evaluated, or caused to be evaluated under their supervision, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures at the year end of the Company and have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the financial period end of the Company for the foregoing purposes.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Such officers have evaluated, or caused to be evaluated under their supervision, the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting at the financial period end of the Company and concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective, at the financial period end of the Company, for the foregoing purpose.

Peyto is required to disclose herein any change in Peyto's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period ended December 31, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Peyto's internal control over financial reporting. No material changes in Peyto's internal control over financial reporting were identified during such period that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Peyto's internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that a control system, including the Company's disclosure and internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met and it should not be expected that the disclosure and internal controls and procedures will prevent all errors or fraud.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Reserve Estimates

Estimates of oil and natural gas reserves, by necessity, are projections based on geologic and engineering data, and there are uncertainties inherent to the interpretation of such data as well as the projection of future rates of production and the timing of development expenditures. Reserve engineering is an analytical process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that can be difficult to measure. The accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and natural gas reserves and future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies and assumptions governing future oil and natural gas prices, future royalties and operating costs, development costs and workover and remedial costs, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil and natural gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of such reserves based on risk recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows expected there from may vary substantially. Any significant variance in the assumptions could materially affect the estimated quantity and value of the reserves, which could affect the carrying value of Peyto's oil and natural gas properties and the rate of depletion of the oil and natural gas properties as well as the calculation of the reserve value based compensation. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to Peyto's reserves will likely vary from estimates, and such variances may be material.

Peyto's estimated quantities of proved and probable reserves at December 31, 2014 were evaluated by independent petroleum engineers InSite Petroleum Consultants Ltd. InSite has been evaluating reserves in this area and for Peyto since inception.

Depletion and Depreciation Estimate

All costs of exploring for and developing petroleum and natural gas reserves, together with the costs of production equipment, are capitalized and then depleted and depreciated on the unit-of-production method based on proved plus probable reserves. Petroleum and natural gas reserves and production are converted into equivalent units based upon estimated relative energy content (6 mcf to 1 barrel of oil). Costs for gas plants and other facilities are capitalized and depreciated on a declining balance basis

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Impairment is indicated if the carrying value of the long-lived asset or oil and gas cash generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount under IFRS. If impairment is indicated, the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the long-lived asset is charged to earnings. The determination of the recoverable amount for impairment purposes under IFRS involves the use of numerous assumptions and judgments including future net cash flows from oil and gas reserves, future third-party pricing, inflation factors, discount rates and other uncertainties. Future revisions to these assumptions impact the recoverable amount.

Decommissioning Provision

The decommissioning provision is estimated based on existing laws, contracts or other policies. The fair value of the obligation is based on estimated future costs for abandonment and reclamation discounted at a credit adjusted risk free rate. The liability is adjusted each reporting period to reflect the passage of time and for revisions to the estimated future cash flows, with the accretion charged to earnings. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the impact on the financial statements could be material.

Future Market Performance Based Compensation

The provision for future market based compensation is estimated based on current market conditions, distribution history and on the assumption that all outstanding rights will be paid out according to the vesting schedule. The conditions at the time of vesting could vary significantly from the current conditions and may have a material effect on the calculation.

Reserve Value Performance Based Compensation

The reserve value based compensation is calculated using the year end independent reserves evaluation which was completed in February 2015. A quarterly provision for the reserve value based compensation is calculated using estimated proved producing reserve additions adjusted for changes in debt, equity and dividends. Actual proved producing reserves additions and forecasted commodity prices could vary significantly from those estimated and may have a material effect on the calculation.

Income Taxes

The determination of the Company's income and other tax liabilities requires interpretation of complex laws and regulations often involving multiple jurisdictions. All tax filings are subject to audit and potential reassessment after the lapse of considerable time. Accordingly, the actual income tax liability may differ significantly from that estimated and recorded.

Accounting Changes

Voluntary changes in accounting policy are made only if they result in financial statements which provide more reliable and relevant information. Accounting policy changes are applied retrospectively unless it is impractical to determine the period or cumulative impact of the change. Corrections of prior period errors are applied retrospectively and changes in accounting estimates are applied prospectively by including these changes in earnings. When the Company has not applied a new primary source of GAAP that has been issued, but is not effective, the Company will disclose the fact along with information relevant to assessing the possible impact that application of the new primary source of GAAP will have on the financial statements in the period of initial application.

STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE

The IASB issued the following standards and amendments which are not yet effective for Peyto and discussed in further detail in Note 2 to the Financial Statements for the fiscal period ended December 31, 2015.

IFRS 9, as issued, reflects part of the IASB's work on the replacement of IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement" and applies to classification and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities as defined in IAS 39 and hedging transactions. The standard has no effective date. In subsequent phases, the IASB will address impairment of financial assets. The adoption of IFRS 9 may have an effect on the classification and measurement of the company's financial assets and financial liabilities. The Company will quantify the effect in conjunction with the other phases, when the final standard including all phases is issued with an effective date.

In July 2014, the IASB completed the final elements of IFRS 9 "Financial Instruments." The Standard supersedes

earlier versions of IFRS 9 and completes the IASB's project to replace IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement." IFRS 9, as amended, includes a principle-based approach for classification and measurement of financial assets, a single 'expected loss' impairment model and a substantially-reformed approach to hedge accounting. The Standard will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with earlier adoption permitted. IFRS 9 will be applied by Peyto on January 1, 2018 and the Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on its financial statements

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," which replaces IAS 18 "Revenue," IAS 11 "Construction Contracts," and related interpretations. The standard is required to be adopted for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with earlier adoption permitted. IFRS 15 will be applied by Peyto on January 1, 2018 and the Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on Peyto's financial statements.

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16 Leases, which replaces IAS 17 Leases. For lessees applying IFRS 16, a single recognition and measurement model for leases would apply, with required recognition of assets and liabilities for most leases. The standard will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on the Company's financial statements.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and www.peyto.com.

Quarterly information

| | 2015 | | | | 2014 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 |
| Operations | | | | | |
| Production | | | | | |
| Natural gas (mcf/d) | 540,512 | 455,137 | 455,443 | 444,794 | 451,044 |
| Oil & NGLs (bbl/d) | 6,943 | 5,352 | 6,843 | 7,456 | 8,077 |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d @ 6:1) | 97,028 | 81,208 | 82,750 | 81,588 | 83,251 |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf/d @ 6:1) | 582,167 | 487,250 | 496,498 | 489,528 | 499,505 |
| Average product prices | | | | | |
| Natural gas (\$/mcf) | 3.34 | 3.57 | 3.50 | 3.97 | 4.22 |
| Oil & natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | 39.88 | 41.69 | 43.54 | 37.03 | 55.47 |
| \$/MCFE | | | | | |
| Average sale price (\$/mcf) | 3.58 | 3.80 | 3.81 | 4.17 | 4.71 |
| Average royalties paid (\$/mcf) | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.24 |
| Average operating expenses | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.31 |
| Average transportation costs | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
| Field netback (\$/mcf) | 3.04 | 3.21 | 3.22 | 3.52 | 4.03 |
| General & administrative expense (\$/mcf) | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Interest expense (\$/mcf) | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| Cash netback (\$/mcf) | 2.82 | 3.00 | 2.99 | 3.28 | 3.78 |
| Financial (\$000 except per share) | | | | | |
| Revenue | 191,606 | 170,216 | 172,202 | 183,812 | 216,321 |
| Royalties | 6,663 | 6,489 | 5,875 | 7,992 | 11,196 |
| Funds from operations | 151,123 | 134,513 | 135,195 | 144,643 | 173,147 |
| Funds from operations per share | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 1.13 |
| Total dividends | 52,456 | 52,456 | 52,456 | 50,780 | 49,181 |
| Total dividends per share | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| Payout ratio | 35% | 39% | 39% | 35% | 29% |
| Earnings | 43,406 | 37,347 | 12,295 | 44,513 | 68,597 |
| Earnings per diluted share | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.45 |
| Capital expenditures | 162,442 | 176,618 | 116,643 | 138,077 | 179,697 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 158,958,273 | 158,958,273 | 158,117,853 | 153,852,570 | 153,690,808 |



Deloitte LLP
Suite 700
850 2nd Street S.W.
Calgary AB T2P 0R8
Canada

Tel: 403-267-1700
Fax: 587-774-5379
www.deloitte.ca

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Shareholders of Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Peyto Exploration & Development Corp., which comprise the balance sheets as at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the income statement, statement of comprehensive income, statement of changes in equity and statement of cash flows for the years then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. as at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Chartered Professional Accountants, Chartered Accountants
March 1, 2016
Calgary, Alberta

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Balance Sheet

(Amounts in \$ thousands)

| | December 31 2015 | December 31 2014 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Accounts receivable | 85,525 | 98,699 |
| Due from private placement (<i>Note 6</i>) | 3,769 | 5,625 |
| Derivative financial instruments (<i>Note 11</i>) | 65,169 | 93,387 |
| Prepaid expenses | 12,992 | 20,386 |
| | 167,455 | 218,097 |
| Long-term derivative financial instruments (<i>Note 11</i>) | - | 11,677 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net (<i>Note 3</i>) | 3,190,059 | 2,897,291 |
| | 3,190,059 | 2,908,968 |
| | 3,357,514 | 3,127,065 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 144,402 | 192,312 |
| Dividends payable (<i>Note 6</i>) | 17,486 | 16,906 |
| Provision for future performance based compensation (<i>Note 9</i>) | 1,998 | 8,225 |
| | 163,886 | 217,443 |
| Long-term debt (<i>Note 4</i>) | 1,045,000 | 925,000 |
| Long-term derivative financial instruments (<i>Note 11</i>) | 2,299 | - |
| Provision for future performance based compensation (<i>Note 9</i>) | - | 1,024 |
| Decommissioning provision (<i>Note 5</i>) | 118,882 | 100,815 |
| Deferred income taxes (<i>Note 10</i>) | 403,890 | 330,847 |
| | 1,570,071 | 1,357,686 |
| Equity | | |
| Shareholders' capital (<i>Note 6</i>) | 1,467,264 | 1,292,398 |
| Shares to be issued (<i>Note 6</i>) | 3,769 | 5,625 |
| Retained earnings | 103,339 | 173,927 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (<i>Note 6</i>) | 49,185 | 79,986 |
| | 1,623,557 | 1,551,936 |
| | 3,357,514 | 3,127,065 |

Approved by the Board of Directors

(signed) "Michael MacBean"
Director

(signed) "Darren Gee"
Director

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Income Statement

(Amounts in \$ thousands)

| | Year ended December 31 | |
|---|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenue | | |
| Oil and gas sales | 609,274 | 910,412 |
| Realized gain (loss) on hedges <i>(Note 11)</i> | 108,562 | (66,615) |
| Royalties | (27,019) | (61,324) |
| Petroleum and natural gas sales, net | 690,817 | 782,473 |
| Expenses | | |
| Operating <i>(Note 7)</i> | 54,121 | 57,575 |
| Transportation | 28,996 | 21,917 |
| General and administrative | 7,105 | 5,797 |
| Market and reserves based bonus <i>(Note 9)</i> | 23,383 | 19,177 |
| Provision for future performance based compensation <i>(Note 9)</i> | (7,251) | 949 |
| Interest <i>(Note 8)</i> | 35,122 | 34,397 |
| Accretion of decommissioning provision <i>(Note 5)</i> | 2,400 | 1,883 |
| Depletion and depreciation <i>(Note 3)</i> | 325,528 | 291,731 |
| Gain on disposition of assets <i>(Note 3)</i> | (2,575) | - |
| | 466,829 | 433,426 |
| Earnings before taxes | 223,988 | 349,047 |
| Income tax | | |
| Deferred income tax expense <i>(Note 10)</i> | 86,427 | 87,269 |
| Earnings for the year | 137,561 | 261,778 |
| Earnings per share <i>(Note 6)</i> | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ 0.87 | \$ 1.71 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding <i>(Note 6)</i> | | |
| Basic and diluted | 157,492,332 | 153,231,099 |

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Statement of Comprehensive Income

(Amounts in \$ thousands)

| | Year ended December 31 | |
|---|------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Earnings for the year | 137,561 | 261,778 |
| Other comprehensive income | | |
| Change in unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | 66,369 | 70,234 |
| Deferred tax recovery (expense) | 11,392 | (34,212) |
| Realized loss (gain) on cash flow hedges | (108,562) | 66,615 |
| Comprehensive Income | 106,460 | 364,415 |

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Statement of Changes in Equity

(Amounts in \$ thousands)

| | Year ended December 31 | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Shareholders' capital, Beginning of Year | 1,292,398 | 1,130,069 |
| Equity offering | 172,517 | 160,480 |
| Common shares issued by private placement | 7,732 | 6,997 |
| Common shares issuance costs (net of tax) | (5,383) | (5,148) |
| Shareholders' capital, End of Year | 1,467,264 | 1,292,398 |
| Common shares to be issued, Beginning of Year | 5,625 | 6,245 |
| Common shares issued | (5,625) | (6,245) |
| Common shares to be issued | 3,769 | 5,625 |
| Common shares to be issued, End of Year | 3,769 | 5,625 |
| Retained earnings, Beginning of Year | 173,927 | 86,975 |
| Earnings for the year | 137,561 | 261,778 |
| Dividends (<i>Note 6</i>) | (208,149) | (174,826) |
| Retained earnings, End of Year | 103,339 | 173,927 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, Beginning of Year | 79,986 | (22,651) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (30,801) | 102,637 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, End of Year | 49,185 | 79,986 |
| Total Equity | 1,623,557 | 1,551,936 |

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Statement of Cash Flows

(Amounts in \$ thousands)

| | Year ended December 31 | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cash provided by (used in) | | |
| Operating activities | | |
| Earnings | 137,561 | 261,778 |
| Items not requiring cash: | | |
| Deferred income tax | 86,427 | 87,269 |
| Depletion and depreciation | 325,528 | 291,731 |
| Accretion of decommissioning provision | 2,400 | 1,883 |
| Gain on disposition of assets | (2,575) | - |
| Long term portion of future performance based compensation | (1,024) | (2,176) |
| Change in non-cash working capital related to operating activities | (18,109) | 2,046 |
| | 530,208 | 642,531 |
| Financing activities | | |
| Issuance of common shares | 180,249 | 167,477 |
| Issuance costs | (7,374) | (6,865) |
| Cash dividends paid | (207,570) | (169,821) |
| Increase (decrease) in bank debt | 20,000 | - |
| Issuance of long term notes | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| | 85,305 | 40,791 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (593,780) | (690,389) |
| Change in prepaid capital | (6,274) | (1,354) |
| Change in non-cash working capital relating to investing activities | (15,459) | 8,421 |
| | (615,513) | (683,322) |
| Net increase in cash | - | - |
| Cash, beginning of year | - | - |
| Cash, end of year | - | - |

The following amounts are included in Cash flows from operating activities:

| | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------|
| Cash interest paid | 37,962 | 32,130 |
| Cash taxes paid | - | - |

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp.

Notes to Financial Statements

As at December 31, 2015 and 2014

(Amounts in \$ thousands, except as otherwise noted)

1. Nature of operations

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. (“Peyto” or the “Company”) is a Calgary based oil and natural gas company. Peyto conducts exploration, development and production activities in Canada. Peyto is incorporated and domiciled in the Province of Alberta, Canada. The address of its registered office is 1500, 250 – 2nd Street SW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2P 0C1.

These financial statements were approved and authorized for issuance by the Board of Directors of Peyto on March 1, 2016.

2. Basis of presentation

These financial statements (“financial statements”) as at and for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 represent the Company’s results and financial position in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”).

a) Summary of significant accounting policies

The precise determination of many assets and liabilities is dependent upon future events and the preparation of periodic financial statements necessarily involves the use of estimates and approximations. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. The financial statements have, in management’s opinion, been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and within the framework of the Company’s basis of presentation as disclosed.

b) Significant accounting estimates and judgements

The timely preparation of the financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires that management make estimates and assumptions and use judgment regarding the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, actual results may differ from estimated amounts as future confirming events occur.

Amounts recorded for depreciation, depletion and amortization, decommissioning costs, reserve based bonus, obligations and amounts used for impairment calculations are based on estimates of gross proved plus probable reserves and future costs required to develop those reserves. By their nature, these estimates of reserves, including the estimates of future prices and costs, and the related future cash flows are subject to measurement uncertainty, and the impact in the financial statements of future periods could be material.

The determination of cash generating units (“CGU”) requires judgment in defining a group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. CGU are determined by, shared infrastructure, commodity type, similar exposure to market risks and materiality.

The amount of compensation expense accrued for future performance based compensation arrangements are subject to management’s best estimate of whether or not the performance criteria will be met and what the ultimate payout amount to be paid out.

Tax interpretations, regulations and legislation in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates are subject to change. As such, income taxes are subject to measurement uncertainty.

c) Standards issued but not yet effective

In July 2014, the IASB completed the final elements of IFRS 9 "Financial Instruments." The Standard supersedes earlier versions of IFRS 9 and completes the IASB's project to replace IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement." IFRS 9, as amended, includes a principle-based approach for classification and measurement of financial assets, a single 'expected loss' impairment model and a substantially-reformed approach to hedge accounting. The Standard will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with earlier adoption permitted. IFRS 9 will be applied by Peyto on January 1, 2018 and the Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on its financial statements.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," which replaces IAS 18 "Revenue," IAS 11 "Construction Contracts," and related interpretations. The standard is required to be adopted for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with earlier adoption permitted. IFRS 15 will be applied by Peyto on January 1, 2018 and the Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on Peyto's financial statements.

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16 Leases, which replaces IAS 17 Leases. For lessees applying IFRS 16, a single recognition and measurement model for leases would apply, with required recognition of assets and liabilities for most leases. The standard will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on the Company's financial statements.

d) Presentation currency

All amounts in these financial statements are expressed in Canadian dollars, as this is the functional and presentation currency of the Company.

e) Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include term deposits or a similar type of instrument, with a maturity of three months or less when purchased.

f) Jointly controlled operations and assets

Certain activities of the Company are conducted jointly with others where the participants have a direct ownership interest in, and jointly control, the related assets. Accordingly, the accounts of Peyto reflect only its working interest share of revenues, expenses and capital expenditures related to these jointly controlled assets.

Processing and gathering recoveries related to joint operations reduces operating expenses.

g) Exploration and evaluation assets

Pre-license costs

Costs incurred prior to obtaining the legal right to explore for hydrocarbon resources are expensed in the period in which they are incurred. The Company has no pre-license costs.

Exploration and evaluation costs

Once the legal right to explore has been acquired, costs directly associated with an exploration well are capitalized as exploration and evaluation intangible assets until the drilling of the well is complete and the results have been evaluated. All such costs are subject to technical feasibility, commercial viability and management review as well as review for impairment at least once a year to confirm the continued intent to develop or otherwise extract value from the discovery. The Company has no exploration or evaluation assets.

h) Property, plant and equipment

Oil and gas properties and other property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

The initial cost of an asset comprises its purchase price or construction cost, any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset into operation, the initial estimate of the decommissioning provision and borrowing costs for qualifying assets. The purchase price or construction cost is the aggregate amount paid and the fair value of any other consideration given to acquire the asset. Costs include expenditures on the construction, installation or completion of infrastructure such as well sites, pipelines and facilities including activities such as drilling, completion and tie-in

costs, equipment and installation costs, associated geological and human resource costs, including unsuccessful development or delineation wells.

Oil and natural gas asset swaps

For exchanges or parts of exchanges that involve assets, the exchange is accounted for at fair value. Assets are then de-recognized at their current carrying amount.

Depletion and depreciation

Oil and natural gas properties are depleted on a unit-of-production basis over proved plus probable reserves. All costs related to oil and natural gas properties (net of salvage value) and estimated costs of future development of proved plus probable undeveloped reserves are depleted and depreciated using the unit-of-production method based on proved plus probable reserves as determined by independent reservoir engineers. For purposes of the depletion and depreciation calculation, relative volumes of petroleum and natural gas production and reserves are converted at the energy equivalent conversion rate of six thousand cubic feet of natural gas to one barrel of crude oil.

Other property, plant and equipment are depreciated using a declining balance method over useful life of 20 years.

i) Corporate assets

Corporate assets not related to oil and natural gas exploration and development activities are recorded at historical costs and depreciated over their useful life. These assets are not significant or material in nature.

j) Impairment of non-financial assets

The Company assesses at each reporting date whether there is an indication that an asset may be impaired. If any indication exists, or when annual impairment testing for an asset is required, the Company estimates the asset's recoverable amount. An asset's recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell or value-in-use and is determined for an individual asset, unless the asset does not generate cash inflows that are largely independent of those from other assets or groups of assets, in which case the recoverable amount is assessed as part of a CGU. If the carrying amount of an asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset or CGU is considered impaired and is written down to its recoverable amount. In assessing value-in-use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. In determining fair value less costs to sell, recent market transactions are taken into account, if available. If no such transactions can be identified, an appropriate valuation model is used. These calculations are corroborated by valuation multiples, quoted share prices for publicly traded securities or other available fair value indicators.

Impairment losses of continuing operations are recognized in the income statement.

An assessment is made at each reporting date as to whether there is any indication that previously recognized impairment losses may no longer exist or may have decreased. If such indication exists, the Company estimates the asset's or CGU's recoverable amount. A previously recognized impairment loss is reversed only if there has been a change in the assumptions used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognized. The reversal is limited so that the carrying amount of the asset does not exceed its recoverable amount, nor exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation, had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset in prior years.

k) Leases

Leases or other arrangements entered into for the use of an asset are classified as either finance or operating leases. Finance leases transfer to the Company substantially all of the risks and benefits incidental to ownership of the leased asset. Assets under finance lease are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the assets and the lease term. All other leases are classified as operating leases and the payments are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

l) Financial instruments

Financial instruments within the scope of IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* ("IAS 39") are initially recognized at fair value on the balance sheet. The Company has classified each financial instrument into the following categories: "fair value through profit or loss"; "loans & receivables"; and "other liabilities". Subsequent measurement of the financial instruments is based on their classification. Unrealized gains and losses on fair value through profit or loss financial instruments are recognized in earnings. The other categories of financial instruments

are recognized at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The Company has made the following classifications:

| Financial Assets & Liabilities | Category |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Cash | Fair value through profit or loss |
| Accounts Receivable | Loans & receivables |
| Due from Private Placement | Loans & receivables |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities | Other liabilities |
| Provision for Future Performance Based Compensation | Other liabilities |
| Dividends Payable | Other liabilities |
| Long Term Debt | Other liabilities |
| Derivative Financial Instruments | Fair value through profit or loss |

Derivative instruments and risk management

Derivative instruments are utilized by the Company to manage market risk against volatility in commodity prices. The Company's policy is not to utilize derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The Company has chosen to designate its existing derivative instruments as cash flow hedges. The Company assesses, on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used as cash flow hedges are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged items. All derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value. The effective portion of the gains and losses is recorded in other comprehensive income until the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. When the earnings impact of the underlying hedged transaction is recognized in the income statement, the fair value of the associated cash flow hedge is reclassified from other comprehensive income into earnings. Any hedge ineffectiveness is immediately recognized in earnings. The fair values of forward contracts are based on forward market prices.

Embedded derivatives

An embedded derivative is a component of a contract that causes some of the cash flows of the combined instrument to vary in a way similar to a stand-alone derivative. This causes some or all of the cash flows that otherwise would be required by the contract to be modified according to a specified variable, such as interest rate, financial instrument price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, a credit rating or credit index, or other variables to be treated as a financial derivative. The Company has no contracts containing embedded derivatives.

Normal purchase or sale exemption

Contracts that were entered into and continue to be held for the purpose of the receipt or delivery of a non-financial item in accordance with the Company's expected purchase, sale or usage requirements fall within the exemption from IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Presentation* ("IAS 32") and IAS 39, which is known as the 'normal purchase or sale exemption'. The Company recognizes such contracts in its balance sheet only when one of the parties meets its obligation under the contract to deliver either cash or a non-financial asset.

m) Hedging

The Company uses derivative financial instruments from time to time to hedge its exposure to commodity price fluctuations. All derivative financial instruments are initiated within the guidelines of the Company's hedging policy. This includes linking all derivatives to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company enters into hedges of its exposure to petroleum and natural gas commodity prices by entering into propane and natural gas fixed price contracts, when it is deemed appropriate. These derivative contracts, accounted for as hedges, are recognized on the balance sheet. Realized gains and losses on these contracts are recognized in revenue and cash flows in the same period in which the revenues associated with the hedged transaction are recognized. For derivative financial contracts settling in future periods, a financial asset or liability is recognized in the balance sheet and measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income.

n) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Cost of producing oil and natural gas is accounted on a weighted average basis. This cost includes all costs incurred in the normal course of business in bringing each product to its present location and condition.

o) Provisions

General

Provisions are recognized when the Company has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event, it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. Where the Company expects some or all of a provision to be reimbursed, the reimbursement is recognized as a separate asset but only when the reimbursement is virtually certain. The expense relating to any provision is presented in the income statement net of any reimbursement. If the effect of the time value of money is material, provisions are discounted using a current pre-tax rate that reflects, where appropriate, the risks specific to the liability

Decommissioning provision

Decommissioning provision is recognized when the Company has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events, and it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate of the amount of obligation can be made. A corresponding amount equivalent to the provision is also recognized as part of the cost of the related property, plant and equipment. The amount recognized is the estimated cost of decommissioning, discounted to its present value using a risk-free rate. Changes in the estimated timing of decommissioning or decommissioning cost estimates are dealt with prospectively by recording an adjustment to the provision, and a corresponding adjustment to property, plant and equipment.

p) Taxes

Current income tax

Current income tax assets and liabilities for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be recovered from or paid to the taxation authorities. The tax rates and tax laws used to compute the amount are those that are enacted or substantively enacted, at the reporting date, in Canada.

Current income tax relating to items recognized directly in equity is recognized in equity and not in the income statement. Management periodically evaluates positions taken in the tax returns with respect to situations in which applicable tax regulations are subject to interpretation and establishes provisions where appropriate.

Deferred income tax

The Company follows the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated tax consequences attributable to differences between the amounts reported in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability settled. Deferred income tax assets are only recognized to the extent it is probable that sufficient future taxable income will be available to allow the deferred income tax asset to be realized. Accumulated deferred income tax balances are adjusted to reflect changes in income tax rates that are enacted or substantively enacted with the adjustment being recognized in earnings in the period that the change occurs, except for items recognized in equity.

q) Revenue recognition

Revenue from the sale of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids is recognized when the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred, which is when title passes to the purchaser. This generally occurs when product is physically transferred into a pipe or other delivery system.

Gains and losses on disposition

For all dispositions, either through sale or exchange, gains and losses are calculated as the difference between the sale or exchange value in the transaction and the carrying amount of the assets disposed. Gains and losses on disposition are recognized in earnings in the same period as the transaction date.

r) Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs directly relating to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying capital project under construction are capitalized and added to the project cost during construction until such time the assets are substantially ready for their intended use, which is when they are capable of commercial production. Where the funds used to finance a project form part of general borrowings, the amount capitalized is calculated using a weighted average of rates applicable to relevant general borrowings of the Company during the period. All other borrowing costs are recognized in the income statement in the period in which they are incurred.

s) **Share-based payments**

Cash-settled share-based payments to employees are measured at the fair value of the liability award at the grant date. A liability equal to fair value of the payments is accrued over the vesting period measured at fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The fair value determined at the grant date of the cash-settled share-based payments is expensed on a graded basis over the vesting period, based on the Company's estimate of liability instruments that will eventually vest. At the end of each reporting period, the Company revises its estimate of the number of liability instruments expected to vest. The impact of the revision of the original estimates, if any, is recognized in the income statement such that the cumulative expense reflects the revised estimate, with a corresponding adjustment to the related liability on the balance sheet.

t) **Earnings per share**

Basic and diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. The Company has no dilutive instruments outstanding which would cause a difference between the basic and diluted earnings per share.

u) **Share capital**

Common shares are classified within equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issuance of shares are recognized as a deduction from Share capital.

3. **Property, plant and equipment, net**

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Cost | |
| At December 31, 2013 | 3,071,245 |
| Additions | 690,389 |
| Decommissioning provision additions | 37,748 |
| Dispositions | - |
| Prepaid capital | 1,354 |
| At December 31, 2014 | 3,800,736 |
| Additions | 593,966 |
| Decommissioning provision additions | 15,667 |
| Prepaid capital | 6,274 |
| At December 31, 2015 | 4,416,643 |
| Accumulated depletion and depreciation | |
| At December 31, 2013 | (611,714) |
| Depletion and depreciation | (291,731) |
| At December 31, 2014 | (903,445) |
| Depletion and depreciation | (323,139) |
| At December 31, 2015 | (1,226,584) |
| Carrying amount at December 31, 2014 | 2,897,291 |
| Carrying amount at December 31, 2015 | 3,190,059 |

The gain on disposition of assets relates to disposition of land offset by 2015 land expiries. Proceeds received for assets disposed during 2015 were \$6.1 million (2014 - \$nil). The net book value of land was \$3.5 million (2014 - \$nil), calculating a gain of \$2.6 million (2014 - \$nil).

During, 2015 Peyto capitalized \$8.0 million (2014 - \$7.8 million) of general and administrative expense directly attributable to exploration and development activities.

At December 31, 2015, an impairment test was performed at the CGU level due to the decline in commodity prices. The Company determined that oil and natural gas properties were not impaired at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The recoverable amount (fair value of the assets less cost of disposal) was determined using a discounted cash flow

approach based on Proved Plus Probable Reserves at December 31, 2015, current commodity prices and a risk adjusted after tax discount rate of 9%.

The benchmark prices used in the Company's forecast at December 31, 2015 are outlined as follows:

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| AECO natural gas (\$/MMBtu) | 2.71 | 3.27 | 3.74 | 3.87 | 4.05 | 4.21 | 4.49 |

Prices and costs subsequent to 2022 have been adjusted for estimated annual inflation of 2%.

All else being equal, a 1% increase in the assumed discount rate or a 10% decrease in future planned cash flows would not result in an impairment for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

4. Long-term debt

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Bank credit facility | 625,000 | 605,000 |
| Senior unsecured notes | 420,000 | 320,000 |
| Balance, end of the year | 1,045,000 | 925,000 |

The Company has a syndicated \$1.0 billion extendible unsecured revolving credit facility with a stated term date of December 4, 2019. In addition, syndicate members have agreed to add an accordion provision that allows for the pre-approved increase of the facility up to \$1.3 billion, at the Company's request, subject to additional commitments by existing facility lenders or by adding new financial institutions to the syndicate. The bank facility is made up of a \$30 million working capital sub-tranche and a \$970 million production line. The facilities are available on a revolving basis for a four year period. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at Canadian bank prime or US base rate, or, at Peyto's option, Canadian dollar bankers' acceptances or US dollar LIBOR loan rates, plus applicable margin and stamping fees. The total stamping fees range between 50 basis points and 215 basis points on Canadian bank prime and US base rate borrowings and between 150 basis points and 315 basis points on Canadian dollar bankers' acceptance and US dollar LIBOR borrowings. The undrawn portion of the facility is subject to a standby fee in the range of 30 to 63 basis points.

On July 3, 2014, Peyto issued \$50 million of senior unsecured notes pursuant to a note purchase agreement. The notes were issued by way of private placement and rank equally with Peyto's obligations under its bank facility. The notes have a coupon rate of 3.79% and mature on July 3, 2022. Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears.

On May 1, 2015, Peyto issued \$100 million senior unsecured notes pursuant to a note purchase agreement. The notes were issued by way of private placement and rank equally with Peyto's obligations under its bank facility. The notes have a coupon rate of 4.26% and mature on May 1, 2025. Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears.

The remaining \$270 million senior unsecured notes bear interest at 3.79% - 4.88% and have the following maturity dates: \$100 million in January 2019, \$120 million in December 2020, and \$50 million in September 2022.

Peyto is subject to the following financial covenants as defined in the credit facility and note purchase agreements:

- Long-term debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 3.0 times trailing twelve month net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes;
- Long-term debt and subordinated debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 4.0 times trailing twelve month net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes;
- Trailing twelve months net income before non-cash items, interest and income taxes to exceed 3.0 times trailing twelve months interest expense;
- Long-term debt and subordinated debt plus the average working capital deficiency (surplus) at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters adjusted for non-cash items not to exceed 55 per cent of the book value of shareholders' equity and long-term debt and subordinated debt.

Peyto is in compliance with all financial covenants and has no subordinated debt as at December 31, 2015.

Peyto's total borrowing capacity is \$1.42 billion and Peyto's credit facility is \$1.0 billion.

The fair value of all senior notes as at December 31, 2015, is \$417.3 million compared to a carrying value of \$420.0 million.

Total interest expense for 2015 was \$35.1 million (2014 - \$34.4 million) and the average borrowing rate for 2015 was 3.6% (2014 - 4.04%).

5. Decommissioning provision

The Company makes provision for the future cost of decommissioning wells and facilities on a discounted basis based on the timing of abandonment and reclamation of these assets.

The decommissioning provision represents the present value of the decommissioning costs related to the above infrastructure, which are expected to be incurred over the economic life of the assets. The provisions have been based on the Company's internal estimates on the cost of decommissioning, the discount rate, the inflation rate and the economic life of the infrastructure. Assumptions, based on the current economic environment, have been made which management believes are a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the future liability. These estimates are reviewed regularly to take into account any material changes to the assumptions. However, actual decommissioning costs will ultimately depend upon the future market prices for the necessary decommissioning work required which will reflect market conditions at the relevant time. Furthermore, the timing of the decommissioning is likely to depend on when production activities ceases to be economically viable. This in turn will depend and be directly related to the current and future commodity prices, which are inherently uncertain.

The following table reconciles the change in decommissioning provision:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 61,184 |
| New or increased provisions | 11,005 |
| Accretion of discount | 1,883 |
| Change in discount rate and estimates | 26,743 |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 100,815 |
| New or increased provisions | 20,099 |
| Accretion of discount | 2,400 |
| Change in discount rate and estimates | (4,432) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 118,882 |
| Current | - |
| Non-current | 118,882 |

The Company has estimated the net present value of its total decommissioning provision to be \$118.9 million as at December 31, 2015 (2014 - \$100.8 million) based on a total future undiscounted liability of \$258.3 million (2014 - \$214.1 million). At December 31, 2015 management estimates that these payments are expected to be made over the next 50 years with the majority of payments being made in years 2047 to 2066. The Bank of Canada's long term bond rate of 2.15 per cent (2014 - 2.33 per cent) and an inflation rate of 2.0 per cent (2014 - 2.0 per cent) were used to calculate the present value of the decommissioning provision.

6. Equity

Share capital

Authorized: Unlimited number of voting common shares

Issued and Outstanding

| | Number of Common Shares | Amount \$ |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Common Shares (no par value) | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 148,758,923 | 1,130,069 |
| Common shares issued by private placement | 211,885 | 6,997 |
| Equity offering | 4,720,000 | 160,480 |
| Common share issuance costs (net of tax) | - | (5,148) |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 153,690,808 | 1,292,398 |
| Common shares issued by private placement | 230,465 | 7,732 |
| Equity offering | 5,037,000 | 172,517 |
| Common share issuance costs (net of tax) | - | (5,383) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 158,958,273 | 1,467,264 |

On December 31, 2013, Peyto completed a private placement of 190,525 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$6.2 million (\$32.78 per share). These common shares were issued January 8, 2014.

On February 5, 2014, Peyto closed an offering for 4,720,000 common shares at a price of \$34.00 per common share, receiving net proceeds of \$153.6 million.

On March 17, 2014, Peyto completed a private placement of 21,360 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$0.8 million (\$35.20 per common share).

On December 31, 2014, Peyto completed a private placement of 168,920 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$5.6 million (\$33.30 per share). These common shares were issued January 7, 2015.

On March 25, 2015, Peyto completed a private placement of 61,545 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$2.1 million (\$34.23 per common share).

On April 16, 2015, Peyto completed a public offering for 5,037,000 common shares at a price of \$34.25 per common share, for net proceeds of \$165.2 million.

Shares to be issued

On December 31, 2015, Peyto completed a private placement of 149,030 common shares to employees and consultants for net proceeds of \$3.8 million (\$25.29 per share). These common shares were issued January 6, 2016.

Per share amounts

Earnings per share or unit have been calculated based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2015 of 157,492,332 (2014 – 153,231,099). There are no dilutive instruments outstanding.

Dividends

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Peyto declared and paid dividends of \$1.32 per common share or \$0.11 per common share for the months of January to December 2015 totaling \$208.2 million (2014 - \$1.14 or \$0.08 per common share for the months of January to April 2014, \$0.10 per common share for the months of May to October 2014, and \$0.11 per common share for the months of November and December totaling \$174.8 million).

On January 15, 2016, Peyto declared dividends of \$0.11 per common share that were paid on February 12, 2016. On February 12, 2016, Peyto declared dividends of \$0.11 per common share to be paid to shareholders of record on February 29, 2016. These dividends will be paid on March 15, 2016.

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Comprehensive income consists of earnings and other comprehensive income (“OCI”). OCI comprises the change in the fair value of the effective portion of the derivatives used as hedging items in a cash flow hedge. “Accumulated other comprehensive income” is an equity category comprised of the cumulative amounts of OCI.

Accumulated hedging gains

Gains and losses from cash flow hedges are accumulated until settled. These outstanding hedging contracts are recognized in earnings on settlement with gains and losses being recognized as a component of net revenue. Further information on these contracts is set out in Note 11.

7. Operating expenses

The Company’s operating expenses include all costs with respect to day-to-day well and facility operations. Processing and gathering recoveries related to jointly owned production reduces gross field expenses to Peyto’s operating expenses.

| | Years ended December 31 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Gross field expenses | 69,130 | 71,967 |
| Cost recoveries related to processing and gathering of partner production | (15,009) | (14,392) |
| Total operating expenses | 54,121 | 57,575 |

8. Finance costs

| | Years ended December 31 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Interest expense | 35,122 | 34,397 |
| Accretion of decommissioning provisions | 2,400 | 1,883 |
| Total finance costs | 37,522 | 36,280 |

9. Future performance based compensation

The Company awards performance based compensation to employees annually. The performance based compensation is comprised of reserve and market value based components.

Reserve based component

The reserves value based component is 4% of the incremental increase in value, if any, as adjusted to reflect changes in debt, equity, dividends, general and administrative costs and interest, of proved producing reserves calculated using a constant price at December 31 of the current year and a discount rate of 8%.

Market based component

Under the market based component, rights with a three year vesting period are allocated to employees and key consultants. The number of rights outstanding at any time is not to exceed 6% of the total number of common shares outstanding. At December 31 of each year, all vested rights are automatically cancelled and, if applicable, paid out in cash. Compensation is calculated as the number of vested rights multiplied by the total of the market appreciation (over the price at the date of grant) and associated dividends of a common share for that period.

The total amount expensed under these plans was as follows:

| | Years ended December 31 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Market based compensation | 12,610 | 13,348 |
| Reserve based compensation | 10,773 | 5,829 |
| Total market and reserves based compensation | 23,383 | 19,177 |

The fair values were calculated using a Black-Scholes valuation model. The principal inputs to the option valuation model were:

| | December 31 2015 | December 31 2014 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Share price | \$34.34 | \$34.34 |
| Exercise price | \$31.29 | \$21.70-\$31.29 |
| Expected volatility | 0% | 0% |
| Option life | 1 - 2 years | 1 - 2 years |
| Forfeiture rate | 7% | 6% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0% | 0% |

Subsequent to December 31, 2015, 3.8 million rights were granted at a price of \$24.09 to be valued at the ten day weighted average market price at December 31, 2016 and vesting 1/3 on each of December 31, 2016, December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018.

10. Income taxes

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|------------------|-----------|
| Earnings before income taxes | 223,988 | 349,047 |
| Statutory income tax rate | 26.00% | 25.00% |
| Expected income taxes | 58,237 | 87,262 |
| Increase (decrease) in income taxes from: | | |
| True-up tax pools | (299) | 7 |
| Rate change | 28,158 | - |
| Other | 331 | - |
| Total income tax expense | 86,427 | 87,269 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 86,427 | 87,269 |
| Current income tax expense | - | - |
| Total income tax expense | 86,427 | 87,269 |
| Differences between tax base and reported amounts for depreciable assets | (428,439) | (340,090) |
| Derivative financial instruments | (16,975) | (26,266) |
| Share issuance costs | 2,993 | 2,171 |
| Future performance based bonuses | 540 | 2,312 |
| Provision for decommission provision | 32,098 | 25,204 |
| Cumulative eligible capital | 5,733 | 5,709 |
| Charitable donations | 56 | 26 |
| Tax loss carry-forwards recognized | 104 | 87 |
| Deferred income taxes | (403,890) | (330,847) |

At December 31, 2015 the Company has tax pools of approximately \$1,598.2 million (2014 - \$1,542.0 million) available for deduction against future income.

11. Financial instruments

Financial instrument classification and measurement

Financial instruments of the Company carried on the balance sheet are carried at amortized cost with the exception of cash derivative financial instruments, specifically fixed price contracts, which are carried at fair value. There are no significant differences between the carrying amount of financial instruments and their estimated fair values as at December 31, 2015.

The fair value of the Company's cash and derivative financial instruments, are quoted in active markets. The Company classifies the fair value of these transactions according to the following hierarchy.

- Level 1 – quoted prices in active markets for identical financial instruments.
- Level 2 – quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3 – valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

The Company's cash and financial derivative instruments have been assessed on the fair value hierarchy described above and classified as Level 1.

Fair values of financial assets and liabilities

The Company's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, derivative financial instruments, due from private placement, current liabilities, provision for future performance based compensation and long term debt. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, cash and derivative financial instruments, are carried at fair value. Accounts receivable, due from private placement, current liabilities and provision for future performance based compensation approximate their fair value due to their short term nature. The carrying value of the long term debt excluding senior notes (Note 4) approximates its fair value due to the floating rate of interest charged under the credit facility.

Market risk

Market risk is the risk that changes in market prices will affect the Company's earnings or the value of its financial instruments. Market risk is comprised of commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The objective of market risk management is to manage and control exposures within acceptable limits, while maximizing returns. The Company's objectives, processes and policies for managing market risks have not changed from the previous year.

Commodity price risk management

The Company is a party to certain derivative financial instruments, including fixed price contracts. The Company enters into these contracts with well-established counterparties for the purpose of protecting a portion of its future earnings and cash flows from operations from the volatility of petroleum and natural gas prices. The Company believes the derivative financial instruments are effective as hedges, both at inception and over the term of the instrument, as the term and notional amount do not exceed the Company's firm commitment or forecasted transactions and the underlying basis of the instruments correlate highly with the Company's exposure.

Following is a summary of all risk management contracts in place as at December 31, 2015:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 190,000 GJ | \$2.75/GJ to \$4.05/GJ |
| April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 50,000 GJ | \$2.83/GJ- \$3.05/GJ |
| April 1, 2015 to October 31, 2015 | Fixed Price | 80,000 GJ | \$2.75/GJ- \$3.91/GJ |
| April 1, 2015 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.89/GJ |
| May 1, 2015 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.82/GJ |
| June 1, 2015 to October 31, 2015 | Fixed Price | 15,000 GJ | \$2.50/GJ- \$2.60/GJ |
| November 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 80,000 GJ | \$2.625/GJ to \$3.11/GJ |
| November 1, 2015 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 40,000 GJ | \$2.84/GJ to \$2.98/GJ |
| December 31, 2015 to March 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.5275/GJ |
| December 31, 2015 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.55/GJ |
| January 1, 2016 to March 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.62/GJ |
| January 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.54/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 95,000 GJ | \$2.58/GJ to \$3.01/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 35,000 GJ | \$2.5025/GJ to \$2.71/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 35,000 GJ | \$3.05/GJ to \$3.43/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 15,000 GJ | \$2.54/GJ to \$2.60/GJ |
| November 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.95/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 40,000 GJ | \$2.825/GJ to \$2.95/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 15,000 GJ | \$2.74/GJ to \$2.98/GJ |

As at December 31, 2015, Peyto had committed to the future sale of 177,150,000 gigajoules (GJ) of natural gas at an average price of \$2.89 per GJ or \$3.32 per mcf. Had these contracts been closed on December 31, 2015, Peyto would have realized a gain in the amount of \$62.9 million. If the AECO gas price on December 31, 2015 were to increase by \$1/GJ, the unrealized gain would increase by approximately \$177.2 million. An opposite change in commodity prices rates would result in an opposite impact on other comprehensive income.

Subsequent to December 31, 2015 Peyto entered into the following contracts:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2016 | Fixed Price | 15,000 GJ | \$2.40/GJ - \$2.45/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 25,000 GJ | \$2.42/GJ - \$2.75/GJ |
| April 1, 2016 to October 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 15,000 GJ | \$2.10/GJ - \$2.45/GJ |
| November 1, 2016 to March 31, 2018 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$2.51/GJ |
| April 1, 2017 to October 31, 2017 | Fixed Price | 10,000 GJ | \$2.40/GJ - \$2.50/GJ |

Interest rate risk

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk in relation to interest expense on its revolving credit facility. Currently, the Company has not entered into any agreements to manage this risk. If interest rates applicable to floating rate debt were to have increased by 100 bps (1%) it is estimated that the Company's earnings before income tax for the year ended December 31, 2015 would decrease by \$5.9 million. An opposite change in interest rates would result in an opposite impact on earnings before income tax.

Credit risk

A substantial portion of the Company's accounts receivable is with petroleum and natural gas marketing entities. Industry standard dictates that commodity sales are settled on the 25th day of the month following the month of production. The Company generally extends unsecured credit to purchasers, and therefore, the collection of accounts receivable may be affected by changes in economic or other conditions and may accordingly impact the Company's overall credit risk. Management believes the risk is mitigated by the size, reputation and diversified nature of the companies to which they extend credit. Credit limits exceeding \$2,000,000 per month are not granted to non-investment grade counterparties unless the Company receives either i) a parental guarantee from an investment grade parent; or ii) an irrevocable letter of credit for two months revenue. The Company has not previously experienced any material credit losses on the collection of accounts receivable. Of the Company's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015, approximately 50% was received from four companies (14%, 13%, 12%, and 11%). (December 31, 2014 – 62% was received from five companies (15%, 14%, 12%, 11%, and 10%)). Of the Company's accounts receivable at December 31, 2015, approximately 74% was receivable from five companies (19%, 16%, 15%, 13% and 11%) (December 31, 2014 approximately 53% was receivable from four companies (15%, 14%, 12%, and 12%)). The maximum exposure to credit risk is represented by the carrying amount on the balance sheet. There are no material financial assets that the Company considers past due and no accounts have been written off.

The Company's accounts receivable was aged as follows at December 31, 2015:

| | December 31, 2015 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Current (less than 30 days) | 79,389 |
| 31-60 days | 4,946 |
| 61-90 days | 191 |
| Past due (more than 90 days) | 999 |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | 85,525 |

The Company may be exposed to certain losses in the event of non-performance by counterparties to commodity price contracts. The Company mitigates this risk by entering into transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings.

Counterparties to financial instruments expose the Company to credit losses in the event of non-performance. Counterparties for derivative instrument transactions are limited to high credit-quality financial institutions, which are all members of our syndicated credit facility.

The Company assesses quarterly if there should be any impairment of financial assets. At December 31, 2015, there was no impairment of any of the financial assets of the Company.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk includes the risk that, as a result of operational liquidity requirements:

- The Company will not have sufficient funds to settle a transaction on the due date;
- The Company will be forced to sell financial assets at a value which is less than what they are worth; or
- The Company may be unable to settle or recover a financial asset at all.

The Company's operating cash requirements, including amounts projected to complete our existing capital expenditure program, are continuously monitored and adjusted as input variables change. These variables include, but are not limited to, available bank lines, oil and natural gas production from existing wells, results from new wells drilled, commodity prices, cost overruns on capital projects and changes to government regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production and availability of markets. As these variables change, liquidity risks may necessitate the need for the Company to conduct equity issues or obtain debt financing. The Company also mitigates liquidity risk by maintaining an insurance program to minimize exposure to certain losses.

The following are the contractual maturities of financial liabilities as at December 31, 2015:

| | < 1 Year | 1-2 Years | 3-5 Years | Thereafter |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 144,402 | - | - | - |
| Dividends payable | 17,486 | - | - | - |
| Provision for future market and reserves based bonus | 1,998 | - | - | - |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | - | - | 625,000 | - |
| Unsecured senior notes | - | - | 100,000 | 320,000 |

(1) Revolving credit facility renewed annually (see Note 5)

Capital disclosures

The Company's objectives when managing capital are: (i) to maintain a flexible capital structure, which optimizes the cost of capital at acceptable risk; and (ii) to maintain investor, creditor and market confidence to sustain the future development of the business.

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of its underlying assets. The Company considers its capital structure to include equity, debt and working capital. To maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may from time to time, issue common shares, raise debt, adjust its capital spending or change dividends paid to manage its current and projected debt levels. The Company monitors capital based on the following measures: current and projected debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization ("EBITDA") ratios, payout ratios and net debt levels. To facilitate the management of these ratios, the Company prepares annual budgets, which are updated depending on varying factors such as general market conditions and successful capital deployment. Currently, all ratios are within acceptable parameters. The annual budget is approved by the Board of Directors.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management from the previous year.

| | December 31 2015 | December 31 2014 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Equity | 1,623,557 | 1,551,936 |
| Long-term debt | 1,045,000 | 925,000 |
| Working capital (surplus) deficit | (3,569) | 654 |
| | 2,664,988 | 2,477,590 |

12. Related party transactions

Certain directors of Peyto are considered to have significant influence over other reporting entities that Peyto engages in commercial transactions with. Such services are provided in the normal course of business and at market rates. These directors are not involved in the day to day operational decision making of the Company. The dollar value of the transactions between Peyto and each of the related reporting entities is summarized below:

| Director | Company | Description | December 31 | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
| | | | Expense | Payable | Expense | Payable |
| Don Gray | Petrus Resources Ltd. | Chairman of the Board | (15.4) | 2.0 | 256.8 | 4.6 |
| Michael MacBean | NCSG Hauling & Rigging Ltd. (subsidiary of NCSG Crane and Heavy Haul) ⁽¹⁾ | Director, NCSG Crane and Heavy Haul | 1,162.2 | 42.2 | 588.8 | 300.7 |
| Stephen Chetner | Burnet Duckworth & Palmer LLP | Partner | 1,199.5 | 867.2 | 759.2 | 744.8 |

⁽¹⁾ was not a related party until August 2014

The Company has determined that the key management personnel consists of key employees, officers and directors. In addition to the salaries and directors' fees paid to these individuals, the Company also provides compensation in the form of market and reserve based bonus to some of these individuals. Compensation expense of \$2.0 million is included in general and administrative expenses and \$11.9 million in market and reserves based bonus relating to key management personnel for the year 2015 (2014 - \$1.5 million in general and administrative and \$7.6 million in market and reserves based bonus).

13. Commitments

In addition to those recorded on the Company's balance sheet, the following is a summary of Peyto's contractual obligations and commitments as at December 31, 2015:

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Thereafter |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| Interest payments ⁽¹⁾ | 18,385 | 18,385 | 18,385 | 16,190 | 13,995 | 27,840 |
| Transportation commitments | 24,020 | 23,409 | 32,673 | 30,148 | 23,192 | 96,290 |
| Operating leases | 1,914 | 1,654 | 1,295 | 1,295 | 1,295 | 7,767 |
| Other | 2,172 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 46,491 | 43,448 | 52,353 | 47,633 | 38,482 | 131,897 |

⁽¹⁾ Fixed interest payments on senior unsecured notes

14. Contingencies

On October 1, 2013, two shareholders (the "Plaintiffs") of Poseidon Concepts Corp. ("Poseidon") filed an application to seek leave of the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench (the "Court") to pursue a class action lawsuit against the Company, as a successor to new Open Range Energy Corp. ("New Open Range"). The proposed action contains various claims relating to alleged misrepresentations in disclosure documents of Poseidon (not New Open Range), which claims are also alleged in class action lawsuits filed in Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec earlier in 2013 against Poseidon and certain of its current and former directors and officers, and underwriters involved in the public offering of common shares of Poseidon completed in February 2012. The proposed class action seeks various declarations and damages including compensatory damages which the Plaintiffs estimate at \$651 million and punitive damages which the Plaintiffs estimate at \$10 million, which damage amounts appear to be duplicative of damage amounts claimed in the class actions against Poseidon, certain of its current and former directors and officers, and underwriters.

New Open Range was incorporated on September 14, 2011 solely for purposes of participating in a plan of arrangement with Poseidon (formerly named Open Range Energy Corp. ("Old Open Range")), which was completed

on November 1, 2011. Pursuant to such arrangement, Poseidon completed a corporate reorganization resulting in two separate publicly-traded companies: Poseidon, which continued to carry on the energy service and supply business; and New Open Range, which carried on Poseidon's former oil and gas exploration and production business. The Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding common shares of New Open Range on August 14, 2012. On April 9, 2013, Poseidon obtained creditor protection under the Companies' Creditor Protection Act.

On October 31, 2013, Poseidon filed a lawsuit with the Court naming the Company as a co-defendant along with the former directors and officers of Poseidon, the former directors and officers of Old Open Range and the former directors and officers of New Open Range. Poseidon claims, among other things, that the Company is vicariously liable for the alleged wrongful acts and breaches of duty of the directors, officers and employees of New Open Range.

On July 3, 2014, the Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit with the Court against KPMG LLP, Poseidon's and Old Open Range's former auditors, making allegations substantially similar to those in the other claims. On July 29, 2014, KPMG LLP filed a statement of defense and a third party claim against Poseidon, the Company and the former directors and officers of Poseidon. The third party claim seeks, among other things, an indemnity, or alternatively contribution, from the third party defendants with respect to any judgment awarded against KPMG LLP.

The allegations against New Open Range contained in the claims described above are based on factual matters that pre-existed the Company's acquisition of New Open Range. The Company has not yet been required to defend either of the actions. If it is required to defend the actions, the Company intends to aggressively protect its interests and the interests of its Shareholders and will seek all available legal remedies in defending the actions. Although the outcome of this matter is not determinable at this time, the Company believes that this claim will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company believes the claims against it are unprecedented and are without merit.

Officers

Darren Gee
President and Chief Executive Officer

Scott Robinson
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

Kathy Turgeon
Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Lee Curran
Vice President, Drilling and Completions

Todd Burdick
Vice President, Production

Tim Louie
Vice President, Land

David Thomas
Vice President, Exploration

Jean-Paul Lachance
Vice President, Exploitation

Stephen Chetner
Corporate Secretary

Directors

Don Gray, Chairman
Stephen Chetner
Brian Davis
Michael MacBean, Lead Independent Director
Darren Gee
Gregory Fletcher
Scott Robinson

Auditors

Deloitte LLP

Solicitors

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

Bankers

Bank of Montreal
Union Bank, Canada Branch
Royal Bank of Canada
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce
The Toronto-Dominion Bank
Bank of Nova Scotia
Alberta Treasury Branches
Canadian Western Bank

Transfer Agent

Computershare

Head Office

1500, 250 – 2nd Street SW
Calgary, AB
T2P 0C1

Phone: 403.261.6081

Fax: 403.451.4100

Web: www.peyto.com

Stock Listing Symbol: PEY.TO

Toronto Stock Exchange